Intramolecular 1,8-Hydrogen Atom Transfer Reactions in Disaccharide Systems Containing Furanose Units

Sabrina Guyenne, Elisa I. León, Angeles Martín,* Inés Pérez-Martín, and Ernesto Suárez*

Instituto de Productos Naturales y Agrobiología del CSIC, [Ca](#page-19-0)rretera de La Esperanza 3, 38206 La Laguna, Te[ne](#page-19-0)rife, Spain

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-19-0)STRACT: [A previously d](#page-19-0)eveloped 1,8-hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reaction promoted by 6-O-yl alkoxyl radicals between the two pyranose units in Hexp- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -Hexp disaccharides has been extended to other systems containing at least a furanose ring in their structures. In Penf-(1→3)-Penf (A) and Hexp-(1→3)-Penf (B) disaccharides, the 1,8-HAT reaction and concomitant cyclization to a 1,3,5-trioxocane ring are in competition with radical β-scission of the C4−C5 bond and formation of

Penf-(1->3)-Penf (A); Hexp-(1->3)-Penf (B); Penf-(1->4)-Hexp (C)

dehomologated products. The influence of the stereoelectronic β -oxygen effect on the β -scission and consequently on the 1,8-HAT reaction has been studied using the four possible isomeric D -furanoses. $D\text{-}xylo$ - and $D\text{-}lyxo$ -derivatives afforded preferentially 1,8-HAT products, whereas D-arabino- and D-ribo-derivatives gave exclusively direct β -scission of the alkoxyl radical. When the 6-O-yl radical is on a pyranose ring, as occurs in Penf- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -Hexp (C), it has been shown to provide the cyclized products exclusively.

ENTRODUCTION

Much attention has been devoted to free radical reactions in carbohydrate chemistry in recent years.¹ Although the vast majority of the work reported in this area has been focused on anomeric radicals of hexopyranose syste[ms](#page-19-0), the conformation, lifetime, and reactivity of the C4 radical in pentofuranoses have also been investigated in some detail. $²$ The importance of these</sup> radicals in the DNA damage through the metabolism of oxygen and in the mode of action of certain [D](#page-19-0)NA cleavage agents (e.g., iron bleomycin, $(1,10\text{-phen})$ ₂Cu complex, and enediyne natural products) has motivated these studies.³

These C4 radicals, in either aldofuranose or oligonucleotide systems, have been usually generated [b](#page-19-0)y reductive fragmentation of 4-phenylseleno derivatives⁴ or Norrish type 1 photochemical cleavage of ketones.⁵ The homolytic rupture of the inactive C4−H bond by intra[m](#page-19-0)olecular 1,5- and 1,6 hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reac[tio](#page-19-0)ns from aryl C-radicals, $⁶$ </sup> alkoxyl radicals,⁷ N-radicals,⁸ or Norrish−Yang photocyclization of 1,2-diketones⁹ have also been occasionally used, and a fe[w](#page-19-0) examples of th[es](#page-19-0)e reaction[s](#page-19-0) can be found in the literature.

Over the past [f](#page-19-0)ew years, we have been particularly interested in intramolecular HAT reactions promoted by properly positioned alkoxyl radicals as a method for the regioselective functionalization of remote inactive carbons in the carbohydrate skeleton.^{7,10} In most cases, the reaction proceeded via thermodynamically stable six- or seven-membered transition states (TSs) t[o gi](#page-19-0)ve tetrahydrofuran or tetrahydropyran rings, respectively. Recently, we have described the stereochemical and conformational factors that control a novel 1,8-HAT reaction between the two pyranose units in Hexp-(1→4)-Hexp disaccharide systems when promoted by a primary 6-O-yl radical (Scheme 1).¹¹ The results show that the process

requires a well-defined conformation of the glycosidic (Φ) and aglyconic (Ψ) bonds, which, as expected, are highly dependent on the stereochemistry of the four chiral centers involved in the cyclization step (C5, C4, C1′, and C5′). In conclusion, we have established that if, under oxidative conditions, a conformationally stable boat−chair 1,3,5-trioxocane ring can be formed, the abstraction would occur preferentially at C5′ (1,8-HAT), whereas if this process is energetically disfavored, namely, a 1,3,5-trioxocane ring in boat−boat or crown ether conformation, the abstraction should take place mainly at C1′ (1,6-HAT) to give an interglycosidic spiro *ortho* ester motif.¹² In both cases the cyclization mechanism implicates an oxonium ion intermediate formed by oxidation of the C-[rad](#page-19-0)ical with an excess of (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (DIB).^{11a} We also found that under reductive conditions a predominant inversion of the configuration at C5′ may take place [and](#page-19-0) hence the transformation of D-Hexp- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -D-Hexp disaccharides into more valuable L-Hexp- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -D-Hexp systems can be accomplished in good yields.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since in the results we have published, until now, the hydrogen donor and acceptor have always been located in hexopyranose moieties, a logical extension of these studies would be to include furanose residues in the disaccharide. Three principal structural arrangements, Penf- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -Penf (A) , Hexp- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -Penf (B), and Penf-(1→4)-Hexp (C), are outlined in Scheme 2. Additionally, examples of related Penp- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -Hexp (e.g., 41)

Received: June 4, 2012 Published: August 1, 2012

and Hexf- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -Hexp (e.g., 58) systems have also been included in this study.

Scheme 2. Furanose Disaccharide Arrangements

At first sight, the differences between the 1,8-HAT reaction in the hexopyranose disaccharides and these three systems may seem trivial. Upon close examination, however, four important differences arise: (a) There is an increased conformational flexibility of the aldofuranosyl ring in solution as compared to the well-defined chair conformation of the aldopyranosyl ring. (b) A greater flexibility of the glycosidic (Φ) bond is expected in these tetrahydrofuran systems, where the electronic effects tend to be less pronounced.¹³ (c) Easy dehomologation of 5-Oyl radicals by $β$ -scission with loss of formaldehyde has been described in furanose ri[ng](#page-19-0)s, and under oxidative¹⁴ and reductive 15 conditions, the homologous reaction in pyranose systems has not been observed. (d) An additional [co](#page-19-0)ncern comes fr[om](#page-19-0) the stability of the final 1,3,5-trioxocane ring, which in the case of furanoses (2,6,10-trioxabicyclo[5.2.1]decane system) could be more prone to hydrolyze.¹⁶ The first two differences may play a critical role in the nine-membered TS, and in general, these drawbacks may transfor[m t](#page-19-0)he process into a much more difficult task.

To illustrate the effect that the furanose ring conformation could have on the 1,8-HAT reaction, we prepared two theoretical models of methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-methyl- α -D-Araf- $(1 \rightarrow$ 3)-2-O-methyl-α-D-Araf (arrangement A) using molecular mechanics (Figure 1). 17 In the first one both arabinofuranose

Figure 1. Minimized exo-syn conformers of α -D-Arap°E- $(1\rightarrow3)$ - α -D-Arap^oE and α -D-Arap E_o -(1→3)- α -D-Arap E_o disaccharides. The distances between 5O and H4′ are shown by arrows.

rings adopt a constrained east ${}^{\circ}E$ conformation $(P = 90^{\circ})$, which leaves the Hα−C4' (pseudoaxial), the Hβ-C1' (pseudoequatorial), and the 5-O-yl radical on a pseudoequatorial side chain in a global disposition very similar to that found in our earlier α -D-Hexp-(1→4)-D-Hexp models. In the second one the arabino rings adopt an opposite west E_0 conformation ($P = 270^{\circ}$) with the Ha-C4′ (pseudoequatorial), the H β -C1' (pseudoaxial), and the 5-O-yl radical on a pseudoaxial side chain. The energies of the staggered conformations exo-syn, non-exo, and exo-anti around the glycosidic torsion angle were calculated by performing a coordinated scan of Φ and Ψ dihedrals.¹⁸ Since it is generally accepted that a narrow range of distances of approximately 2.5−3.0 Å are required for the abstracti[on](#page-19-0) to take place,¹⁹ the distances between the oxygen at C5 and the extractable hydrogens were also calculated as indicative of HAT re[ac](#page-19-0)tion feasibility.

The results of this study highlight the clear influence of the furanose ring conformation on the 1,6- and 1,8-HAT TSs. In the Araf E_o -(1→3)-Araf E_o model, the distances between the 5-O-yl radical and the extractable hydrogens (H1′ and H4′) are excessively long (4.9−7.0 Å) and HAT reactions are clearly unfavorable. Notwithstanding, in the Araf E -(1→3)-Araf E model, the global minimum was found to be an exo-syn conformer with an ideal distance O5−H4′ of 2.9 Å (see Table 1S of the Supporting Information for details).

The intuitive extrapolation of these results suggests that 1,8- HAT sho[uld be favored with rest](#page-19-0)ricted or stabilized furanose ring conformers at the east side of the pseudorotational itinerary with phase angle values of about 90° and disfavored with conformers at the opposite west side $(P = 270^{\circ})$.

The easy dehomologation of 5-O-yl radicals by β -scission poses a difficult problem, but an example previously described from this laboratory encourages us to think that intramolecular HAT could effectively compete with the dehomologation reaction. The reaction of diol 1^{20} with the DIB/I₂ system afforded exclusively 2,6-anhydro-β-D-ribo-hex-2-ulose (2,6 anhydro- β -D-psicose) derivative 3 [in](#page-19-0) high yield via an evident 1,5-HAT reaction, while no products coming from β -scission could be detected in the reaction mixture (Scheme 3). Notwithstanding, under the same conditions the selectively monosilyl-protected 2^{21} gave only the mixture of dehom[ol](#page-2-0)[o](#page-19-0)gated anomeric acetates 4.²²

To arrive at a reaso[nab](#page-19-0)le explanation of the factors governing these differences, the con[for](#page-19-0)mation of the furanose ring was determined by pseudorotational analysis of NMR ring coupling constants $\left({}^{3}I_{\rm H,H}\right)^{23}$ Due probably to the restrictions imposed by the [3.3.0]bicycle, the furanose ring conformations in 1 and 2 are very similar, [w](#page-19-0)ith the most populated conformers at phase angles of $P = 292^{\circ}$ (¹T_o) and $P = 276^{\circ}$ (E_o), respectively (see Table 2S of the Supporting Information for details).²⁴ In these northern conformations, the furanose side chain at C4 and the

Scheme 3. HAT versus Dehomologation in Ribose Derivatives

hydrogen at C1 lie in a syn-1,3-pseudodiaxial relationship favoring the 1,5-abstraction whereas the tether at C1 and the hydrogen at C4 are in a disfavored syn-1,3-pseudodiequatorial orientation. The distances C1′−O−H4 and C5−O−H1 on the minimum energy conformers were then calculated by performing a coordinated scan of H1−C1−C1′−O and H4−C4−C5− O dihedrals.¹⁷ As shown in Table 2S, the 1,5-HAT reaction can only be performed from the 5-O-yl radical $(d = 2.5 \text{ Å})$, being impossible f[ro](#page-19-0)m the 1′-O-yl radical $(d = 4.5-4.7 \text{ Å})$, thereby confirming the experimental results.

In comparative terms, the reaction was also studied with more flexible 2,3-di-O-methylribose derivatives 9 and 10. The preparation of these compounds was carried out as outlined in Scheme 3. Protection of the 5-OH group in benzyl Dribofuranoside (5) as a tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether and subsequent dimethylation gave saccharide 6 as an anomeric mixture of isomers. The C-glycosidation of the deprotected anomeric alcohol 7 with trimethylsulfoxonium ylide following the Frechou et al.²⁵ protocol afforded after acetylation a chromatographically separable mixture of isomers 8. The major α -isomer was deprot[ec](#page-20-0)ted consecutively with K₂CO₃ and TBAF to give the diol 10^{26} and the intermediate alcohol 9. When the radical reaction was applied to diol 10, a complex mixture of inseparable acetat[es w](#page-20-0)as obtained upon $β$ -fragmentation and we were unable to detect the formation of any HAT product in the reaction mixture. Monosilylated 9 also afforded only β fragmented products, including a 1-O-acetyl-D-ribofuranose mixture of anomers 11 and a small amount of unexpected disaccharide structures 12 promoted by intermolecular glycosidation via a ribofuranosylium cation intermediate. The preferred conformations of 9 and 10 calculated analogously indicate that distances are too large for the 1,5-HAT reaction to take place (Table 2S, Supporting Information).

Considerable attention has been focused on conformational analysis of aldofura[nosides because of](#page-19-0) their biological importance, and the most important structural features of these compounds have been published.²⁷ A quick survey of the literature reveals that methyl α -D-arabinofuranoside exists preferentially in a conformation of th[e](#page-20-0) eastern region of the pseudorotational itinerary (E_4) as determined by computational

methods and X-ray crystallographic analysis and therefore could be a good candidate to test our 1,8-HAT reaction.

Keeping the above considerations in mind, a series of disaccharides belonging to each one of the above-mentioned arrangements were synthesized and are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1. Synthesis of Disaccharides^{a}

a Glycosylations by the trichloroacetimidate method were performed with peracetylated donors (2.3 equiv), acceptors (1 equiv), (TMS)- OTf (0.025 equiv), and 3 Å molecular sieves (50 wt %) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C. ^bIsolated yield after chromatographic purification.

ER-2Cl₂ at 0 °C. ^bIsolated yield after chromatographic purification. ^cReagents and conditions: (a) acetate (1 equiv), K_2CO_3 (3 equiv) in MeOH at rt, then Amberlyst 15 H⁺; (b) alcohol (1 equiv), NaH (6 equiv), MeI (7.5 equiv) in DMF at 0 °C. ^{*d*}Reagents and conditions: *n*pentenyl glycoside (1 equiv), acceptor (1 equiv), N-iodosuccinimide (NIS) (1.3 equiv), (TMS)OTf (0.3 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C \rightarrow rt. TCA = trichloroacetimidyl.

The glycosyl donors α -D-Araf 24,²⁸ α -L-Araf 29,²⁹ α -L-Rhap 32,³⁰ α -D-Manf 37,³¹ and α -D-Lyxp 40³² were prepared according to the literature proced[ur](#page-20-0)es. The inco[rp](#page-20-0)oration of ac[etyl](#page-20-0) protecting gro[up](#page-20-0)s in all trichloroace[tim](#page-20-0)idate derivatives serves to ensure the control of the glycosylation stereochemistry by neighboring C2 group participation.³³

The preparation of monosaccharide alcohols α -D-Araf 14, β -D-Ribf 16, β -D-Xylf 18, and α -D-Lyxf 23 use[d a](#page-20-0)s glycosyl acceptors was carried out according to well-established experimental procedures as described in Scheme 4. Protection of the 5-OH group as a tert-butyldiphenylsilyl ether in 13^{34} and 15³⁵ afforded methyl α -D-arabinofur[an](#page-3-0)oside (14) and methyl β D-ribofuranoside (16), respectively, in excellent yield. Me[thy](#page-20-0)l β -D-[xyl](#page-20-0)ofuranoside derivative (18) was obtained in two steps from β-D-ribofuranoside 16 involving initial Dess−Martin

periodinane oxidation to ketone 17 and subsequent reduction with sodium borohydride to afford the 3-β-isomeric alcohol 18 preferentially. The synthesis of α -D-lyxofuranoside derivative 23 began with the known methyl α -D-lyxofuranoside (19),³⁶ which was partially protected by 3,5-di-O-silylation using (i- $Pr₂SiCl₂O$ in pyridine to give compound 20 in 8[1%](#page-20-0) yield. The latter was in turn methylated with the MeI/Ag_2O system to afford 21; probably due to the highly congested β -face of the ring, the reaction was very slow and the yield was only 41% (84% brsm) after 5 days. The 1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl group of 21 was removed by TBAF to give the diol 22 in 99% yield. The usual tert-butyldiphenylsilylation of 22 gave the desired 5-masked product 23 in 99% yield.

The known methyl 6-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2,3-di-Omethyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (34) also used as a glycosyl acceptor was prepared by a previously described method.^{11a}

The required disaccharides 25, 27, 30, 33, 35, and 41 were prepared by the trichloroacetimidate method using a cat[alyt](#page-19-0)ic amount of (TMS) OTf as a Lewis acid;^{33a} as expected an exclusive 1,2-trans relative disposition of the glycosidic bond and the 2-acetyl group was always observed (Table 1). The npentenyl glycoside 37^{31} was activated with N-iodosuccinimide $(NIS)/(TMS)$ OTf and used as a glycosyl accept[or](#page-2-0) for the synthesis of α -D-Manf[-\(](#page-20-0)1→4)- α -D-Glcp disaccharide 38.^{33b} In most of the prepared disaccharides, 25, 27, 30, 35, and 38, and to favor the HAT reaction by the electrophilic alkoxyl radical, the electron-withdrawing acetyl protecting groups (EWGs) were substituted by methyl ethers.^{10b} The models 26, 28, 31, 36, and 39 thus obtained were desilylated with TBAF, and the free primary alcohols were then re[ady](#page-19-0) for the generation of the alkoxyl radicals under oxidative conditions.

Pseudorotational analysis of ring ${}^{3}\!J_{\rm H,H}$ coupling constants of α -D-Araf-(1→3)- α -D-Araf derivative 42, our first disaccharide model (Scheme 5), indicated that both furanose rings adopt a preferential population of E_4 conformations.³⁷ Analogously to the Araf E -(1→3)-Araf E theoretical model previously studied, the global minimum was found to be an exo-s[yn](#page-20-0) conformer with an ideal distance C5−O−H4′ of 2.7 Å for the 1,8-HAT to take place. Unfortunately, 42 failed to undergo the desired 1,8-HAT reaction upon treatment with the DIB/I_2 system under a variety of differently modified conditions. The β -fragmentation reaction is faster, and only an inseparable mixture of isomeric

Scheme 4. Preparation of Glycosyl Donors Scheme 5. HAT Reactions of Arrangement A Disaccharide Models under Oxidative Conditions

dehomologated acetates 43 in moderate yield could be detected (Scheme 5).

Recently, it was claimed that, under reductive conditions in ribo- and xylofuranose derivatives where the neighboring hydroxyl group at C3 is protected, the $β$ -fragmentation is prevented and the 5-O-yl radical is simply quenched by the stannane.^{15d} Although this is in apparent contradiction with a previous finding by Robins et al.^{15a} in protected ribonucleosides where, [und](#page-19-0)er similar conditions, the β -scission occurs exclusively, we decided to pr[epa](#page-19-0)re α -D-Araf- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-Ribf derivative 44 to check this possibility. Again, only β -fragmented products, the acetate 45 together with a small amount of aldehyde 46, were obtained (Scheme 5). There is no evidence for the formation of any 1,8-HAT product within the limits of ¹H NMR detection. A pseudorotational study showed α -D-Araf E_4 -(1→3)- β -D-Ribf E_2 conformations for 44 and seems to confirm the results. The β -D-ribofuranose moiety adopts preferentially a west E_2 conformation, and the calculated C5− O−H4′ distance (3.5 Å) in the global exo-syn minimum is unfavorable for the 1,8-HAT.

After these unsuccessful efforts, we decided to try a different approach to avoid the dehomologation reaction by destabilization of the C4 radical intermediate. The fragmentation of the C4−C5 bond should be favored by a trans disposition of the oxygen substituent at C3 (as in preceding models) due to a stereoelectronic β -oxygen effect. Consequently, a cis disposition of this substituent on the β -face of the ring may prevent the β fragmentation.^{14a}

The stereochemistry of such a disaccharide should follow the pattern establ[ishe](#page-19-0)d in our previous research on Hexp- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -Hexp models to accommodate the final 1,3,5-trioxocane ring in a stable boat−chair conformation.¹¹ The synthesis of a Penf- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -Penf disaccharide model with a 3 β -aglyconic bond would require an α -L-sugar as a gl[yco](#page-19-0)syl donor to have a stable transition state for the 1,8-HAT reaction. Therefore, α -L-Araf- $(1\rightarrow3)$ - α -D-Lyxf disaccharide 47 was prepared and irradiated under the DIB/I_2 conditions. To our delight, the desired 1,3,5-

trioxocane derivative 48 was obtained, along with a small amount of dehomologated acetates 49 as an inseparable 9:1 mixture of diastereomers. Products arising from 1,6-HAT of the anomeric H1′ were not isolated. We are aware of only one previous example in the literature of the 2,6,10 trioxabicyclo[5.2.1]decane system present in the structure of compound 48 and are unaware of any examples in the carbohydrate field.¹⁶ As expected, 48 was very sensitive to acid decomposition, but it could be purified by rapid column chromatography o[n n](#page-19-0)eutral alumina, albeit in low recovery yield (22%). The regioselectivity of the process was assured by NMR spectroscopy; namely, the hydrogens at C5′ appear now as doublets ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.40 and 3.45 ppm) with a strong correlation observed between the C4' signal (δ_c 108.1 ppm) and protons at C5 (δ _H 3.85 and 4.36 ppm, dd) in the 2D HMBC experiment.

Next the reaction of α -L-Rhap-(1→3)- β -D-Xylf derivative 50, an arrangement B model, was examined (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. HAT Reaction of the Arrangement B Disaccharide Model under Oxidative Conditions

Compound 50 was designed with D-xylofuranose to minimize the stabilizing $β$ -oxygen effect, assessing its influence as a general strategy to avoid the dehomologation reaction. D-Xylose and D-lyxose are the only two pentofuranoses which have a cis relationship between the hydroxyl substituent at C3 and the adjacent side chain.

Likewise, when 50 was subjected to similar reaction conditions, the same trend was also followed and led to the formation of 1,3,5-trioxocane derivative 51 and a mixture of dehomologated acetates 52. The yield of 51 can be slightly improved by running the reaction at low temperature $(0 \degree C)$. The NMR characteristics of 51 clearly indicate that oxidation has taken place at C5′. Accordingly, the methyl at C5′ appears now as a singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.40 ppm, and the HMBC correlations of C5 proton signals at δ_H 4.02 and 4.09 ppm with the C5' carbon atom (δ_c 101.4 ppm) confirm the new connectivity of both sugar rings.

This methodology was also applied to α -D-Araf- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - α -D-Glc p 53, a disaccharide belonging to the arrangement C type (Scheme 7). As expected, no dehomologated products were produced by $β$ -scission of this 6-O-pyranosyl radical, and as a conseque[n](#page-5-0)ce, the cyclized product 54 was formed in significantly better yield (55%) than those in the other models previously obtained.

For comparative purposes the reaction was also applied to disaccharide analogue 55, where the hydroxyl groups at the furanose ring are acetylated. Two products, 56 and 57, coming from the 1,8-HAT reaction are now formed in lower yield due to the EWG influence of the neighboring acetyl groups. Interestingly, we were able to isolate the acetal 57, clearly an intermediate in the hydrolysis of the 1,3,5-trioxocane ring,

which gives us some idea on the hydrolysis mechanism. Reasonable evidence for the assignment of the C1′ R stereochemistry to the acetal 57 was obtained from the NOESY experiment, which showed significant cross-peaks correlating the axial H1′ with H4 and the axial H6. As described in the Experimental Section, a small amount of methyl 2,3-di- O -methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside, one of the final hydrolyzed monos[accharides, was also o](#page-7-0)btained.

In this section we have included the related disaccharide α -D-Manf- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - α -D-Glcp 58 (Scheme 7). The reaction proceeded analogously to that of 53 to give the trioxocane 59 in similar yield. The only side product detect[ed](#page-5-0) was the methylenedioxy 60 generated by 1,7-HAT of one hydrogen of the methoxyl group at C3 through a conformational equilibrium between glucopyranose ${}^{4}C_{1}$ and ${}^{1}C_{4}$ ring chairs. The structure and conformation of 60 were confirmed by analysis of the vicinal $J_{\rm H,H}$ coupling constants in the $^1\rm H$ NMR spectrum together with DEPT and 2D HSQC and HMBC experiments. Of particular relevance is the HMBC connectivity of C6 (δ _C 64.1 ppm) with the protons at the methylenedioxy bridge (δ_H 4.66 and 4.81 ppm, d).

Finally, we carried out the reaction with α -D-Lyxp-(1→4)- α -D-Glcp derivative 41, where the hydrogen donor is a pentose in pyranose form (Scheme 8). Surprisingly, the major product was the orthoacetate 61 as a sole isomer, resulting from initial 1,8- HAT and subsequent n[ei](#page-5-0)ghboring participation of the acetate group after oxidation of the C-radical intermediate. The minor product was the spiro ortho ester 62, obtained as a mixture of isomers and evidently formed by a competitive 1,6-HAT. Although compound 61 could be purified by silica gel column chromatography, it is unstable and in the presence of a catalytic amount of acid undergoes a partial hydrolysis to give in quantitative yield the dialdose derivative 63. As a global result of the intramolecular HAT reaction, a regio- and stereoselective oxidative functionalization at C5′ has been produced with a concomitant ester shift.³⁸

For comparative purposes, a number of models belonging to arrangements B and [C](#page-20-0) were also studied under reductive conditions. With this aim, the phthalimide derivatives 64, 70, 74, and 78 were prepared from the corresponding alcohols 50, 53, 55, and 58 by reaction with N-hydroxyphthalimide via Mitsunobu condensation (Schemes 9 and 10).³⁹

The reaction of α -L-Rhap-(1→3)- β -D-Xylf phthalimide 64 with n -Bu₃SnH/AIBN afford[e](#page-6-0)d three com[pou](#page-6-0)[nds](#page-20-0) (Scheme 9). Two minor products resulted from 1,8-HAT reactions, the β -D-Gulp-(1→3)- β -D-Xylf 65 (26%) formed by hydrogen abstr[ac](#page-6-0)tion at C5′ and subsequent radical quenching with inversion of configuration and alcohol 50 (19%), which could arise either by abstraction and retention of the configuration at C5′ or simply by reduction of the 6-O-yl radical prior to the abstraction or very probably by a combination of both mechanisms. Unfortunately, as happened under oxidizing conditions, the third and most abundant product was the dehomologated α -L-Rhap- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-Threof disaccharide 66 (49%). Repetition of this reaction with n -Bu₃SnD showed, after exhaustive analysis of the isotopic distribution, the complete monodeuteration for the β -scission product 69 and also for the inverted alcohol 67. Moreover, 50% deuterium labeling was found in compound 68, the reduction of the O-radical being responsible for the unlabeled molecules. We can therefore conclude that in this model the global process occurs in low yield but predominantly with inversion of configuration (inversion:retention ratio $3.8:1$).

Scheme 8. HAT Reaction of the α -D-Lyxp-(1→4)- α -D-Glcp Model under Oxidative Conditions

The reduction of β -D-Araf-(1→4)- β -D-Glcp phthalimide 70 by treatment with $n-Bu_3SnH/AlBN$ afforded exclusively compounds by hydrogen abstraction at C4′: the β -L-Xylf-(1→ 4)- β -D-Glcp derivative 71 (46%) formed by inversion to generate a β -L-xylofuranose moiety and the alcohol 53 (41%) with retention of configuration at this carbon (Scheme 10). The experiment using $n-Bu_3SnD$ confirmed a complete deuteration at C4′ in compound 72, while 75% deuterium labeling was found in the alcohol 73. These results permit us to establish that the abstraction at C4′ occurs in a 63% yield with an inversion:retention ratio of approximately 1.4:1.

For the related 6-O-phthalimide 74 with the peracetylated Darabino moiety, the reaction gave preferentially the inverted product 75, and the use of n -Bu₃SnD as a reagent showed a lower abstraction yield at C4′ in comparison with that of substrate 70 but an improved inversion:retention ratio, 2.6:1. This higher inversion: retention ratio of acetylated α -D-Ara 74 versus methylated α -D-Ara 70 could be explained with the increase in electronegativity of the β -oxygenated substituent as observed in the pyranose series between peracetylated and permethylated β -maltose.^{11b}

Finally, when the reductive HAT was performed with the phthalimide 78, the inver[ted](#page-19-0) product 79 was generated in lower yield and the alcohol precursor derivative 80 was then the major isomer with a 48% yield. Repetition of the experiment with $n-Bu_3SnD/AlBN$ originated a mixture of compounds 81 (29%), with complete deuteration at C4′, and 82 (49%), with a 70% labeling at C4′. Thus, the deuterium was only incorporated at position 4′, with no deuterium detected at other sites within NMR limits, unlike the experiment under oxidative conditions where abstraction at the methoxyl group at C3 was also observed (Scheme 7). 1,8-HAT occurs in this model with a 63% yield with an inversion:retention ratio of approximately 1:1.2, slightly favoring the retained product.

Scheme 10. HAT Reactions of Arrangement C Disaccharide Models under Reductive Conditions

■ CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the experimental evidence presented, the previously developed 1,8-HAT reaction between the two pyranose units in Hexp-(1→4)-Hexp systems can be extended to other disaccharides containing at least a furanose ring in their structures. Some limitations have been observed: when the 5-Oyl radical is generated on D-arabinofuranose or D-ribofuranose rings such as in 42 and 44, no HAT products can be detected. Instead, the 5-O-yl radicals underwent faster β -scission to give exclusively dehomologated products. This may be explained by the stabilizing $β$ -oxygen effect on the C4-O bond breaking, providing an impetus for the $β$ -fragmentation to occur. Notwithstanding, if the alkoxyl radical is part of 3β -isomeric D-lyxofuranose 47 or D-xylofuranose 50 moieties, 1,8-HAT reaction occurs preferentially in moderate to low yield in competition with the β -scission. No dehomologation products were observed with 6-O-yl radicals in pyranose systems, and the yields of the 1,8-HAT products increased significantly. See, for example, arrangement C models 53 and 58 in Scheme 7.

The HAT reactions in these models proceeded with excellent regioselectivity, and only 1,8-abstraction was generally observed. The possible competitive 1,6-abstraction of the H1′ was only detected in alcohol 41 and may be attributable to reactivity differences between the secondary H5′ and the tertiary H1′. Furthermore, a 1,7-HAT is involved in the functionalization of the methoxyl group at C3, which results in the formation of a small amount of 60 during the reaction of alcohol 58.

We found that the sensitive 2,6,10-trioxabicyclo $[5.2.1]$ decane ring system present in 48, 54, 56, and 59 may be susceptible to partial hydrolysis either under the reaction conditions or during the purification process by chromatography, and at least in one case we have managed to isolate intermediate 57.

Under reductive conditions the HAT reaction proceeded analogously; the same competitive β -fragmentation was observed by $n-Bu_3SnH/AlBN$ treatment of D-xylofuranose phthalimide 64. The observed inversion:retention ratio at C4′ in D-arabinofuranose 70 is modest (1.4:1) and increased significantly in triacetylated phthalimide 74; an analogous result was already observed for peracetylated hexopyranose systems. $11b$

These results allow us to conclude that the principal draw[bac](#page-19-0)k of these reactions is the β -fragmentation; the adverse entropic effects caused by the furanose ring flexibility and by the decrease in the anomeric effects may have a negative influence on the competition with the dehomologation but do not seem to be critical.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Methods. Melting points were measured on a hot-stage apparatus. Optical rotations were recorded on a polarimeter at a wavelength of 589 nm at ambient temperature in CHCl₃ solutions. IR spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrophotometer in a film. ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra were obtained from 400 or 500 MHz spectrometers. The chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS at δ 0.00 ppm or to residual CDCl₃ at δ 7.26 ppm for proton spectra and relative to CDCl₃ at δ 77.00 ppm for carbon spectra, unless otherwise noted. ¹³C DEPT and 2D COSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments were performed routinely for all new compounds. Low- and high-resolution mass spectra were recorded with TOF analyzer mass spectrometers by using electrospray ioniazation (ESI+) or electron impact (EI) at 70 eV, as specified in each case. Reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm coated commercial silica gel plates impregnated with a fluorescent indicator (254 nm) visualized by UV light and/or submersion in standard vanillin TLC stains followed by heating on a hot plate until development of color. Flash column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60 PF (0.063−0.2 mm), unless otherwise indicated. Circular layers of 1 mm of Merck silica gel 60 PF_{254} were used on a Chromatotron for centrifugally assisted chromatography. All reactions were performed in single-neck round-bottom flasks fitted with rubber septa under a positive pressure of nitrogen with magnetic stirring, unless otherwise noted. Commercially available reagents and solvents were analytical grade or were purified by standard procedures prior to use.

2,5-Anhydro-3,4-O-isopropylidene-D-altritol (1). We have detected some coupling constant errors in the data described previously for this compound.⁷ The corrected data are as follows: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.32 (3H, s), 1.49 (3H, s), 3.61 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 6.6 Hz), 3.65 (1H, [d](#page-19-0)d, J = 11.7, 4.1 Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 5.1 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 6.0 Hz), 4.12 (1H, ddd, J = 6.0, 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.18 (1H, ddd, J = 6.3, 4.1, 1.6 Hz), 4.67 (1H, dd, J = 6.0, 1.6 Hz), 4.79 (1H, dd, $J = 6.3$, 4.4 Hz).

2,5-Anhydro-6-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-3,4-O-isopropylidene-p-altritol (2). A solution of trimethylsulfoxonium iodide (3.256 g, 14.8 mmol) and potassium tert-butoxide (1.329 g, 11.8 mmol) in dry DMSO (13.8 mL) was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen for 30 min. 5-O-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-ribofuranose⁴⁰ (3 g, 9.87 mmol) in dry DMSO (6.7 mL) was then added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 2 h. An aqueous satu[rat](#page-20-0)ed solution of $NH₄Cl$ was added and the mixture extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes− EtOAc, $90:20 \rightarrow 70:30$) to give 2 (1355 mg, 4.6 mmol, 47%) as a colorless oil: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_D - 16.0$ (c 0.412, CHCl₃); IR 3468, 2933, 1463, 1258, 1083 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.057 (3H, s), 0.060 (3H, s), 0.89 (9H, s), 1.34 (3H, s), 1.51 (3H, s), 3.70 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 3.5 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, $J = 11.0$, 3.5 Hz), 3.83 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 5.4 Hz), 3.87 (1H, dd, $J = 12.0, 5.7$ Hz), 4.15 (1H, ddd, $J = 3.5, 3.5, 0.0$ Hz), 4.21 (1H, ddd, J = 5.4, 5.4, 4.4 Hz), 4.79 (1H, dd, J = 6.0, 4.1) Hz), 4.83 (1H, dd, J = 6.3, 0.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C −5.6 (CH₃), −5.5 (CH₃), 18.1 (C), 24.6 (CH₃), 25.8 (3 × CH₃), 26.1 (CH₃), 62.1 (CH₂), 64.9 (CH₂), 82.0 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 83.3 (CH), 84.2 (CH), 112.5 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 341 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{30}NaO_5Si$ 341.1760, found 341.1760. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{30}O_5Si$: C, 56.57; H, 9.49. Found: C, 56.28; H, 9.49.

Oxidative HAT of 2. A solution of alcohol 2 (51 mg, 0.16 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (6.3 mL) containing DIB (56.7 mg, 0.176 mmol), I_2 (20.3 mg, 0.08 mmol), and powdered molecular sieves 3 Å (52 mg) was stirred under nitrogen at 32 °C for 3 h while being irradiated with two 80 W tungsten-filament lamps. An excess of solid $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ was then added and stirring continued until complete disappearance of the iodine color. The reaction mixture was then filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Silica gel column chromatography of the reaction residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 90:10 → 70:30) afforded the known²² 1-O-acetyl-5-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-O-isopropylidene-β-D-ribofuranose (4β) (12.6 mg, 0.036 mmol, 23%), 1-Oacetyl-[5-](#page-19-0)O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-O-isopropylidene-α-D-ribofuranose (4α) $(10.4 \text{ mg}, 0.03 \text{ mmol}, 18.7\%)$, and unreacted starting material 2 (12.7 mg, 0.04 mmol, 25%). Attempts to increase the yield of 4 by varying the reaction times and the number of equivalents of DIB were unsuccessful.

Benzyl 5-O-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-β-D-ribofuranoside (6β) and Benzyl 5-O-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)- 2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-ribofuranoside (6 α). To a solution of benzyl D-ribofuranoside (5) (0.92 g, 3.8 mmol) in dry DMF (30.7 mL) were added imidazole (388 mg, 5.7 mmol) and tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride [(TBDMS)Cl] (689 mg, 4.563 mmol) under nitrogen at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h. EtOH was then added, and the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was then coevaporated with toluene and purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 9:1 → 7:3) to give the diol intermediate (1.09 g, 3.076 mmol, 80%) as a colorless oil. This material was used in the next reaction without separation of isomers. To a solution of benzyl 5-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-D-ribofuranoside (1089 mg, 3.076 mmol) in dry DMF (36.6 mL) cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C was added NaH, 60% dispersion in mineral oil (492 mg, 12.3 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at this temperature under nitrogen until all hydrogen evolution had ceased. Then an excess of methyl iodide (957 μ L, 15.3 mmol) was added and stirring continued at room temperature for 2 h. Excess reagent was destroyed by addition of MeOH, and the mixture was concentrated under high vacuum. Column chromatography (hexanes–EtOAc, 90:10 \rightarrow 80:20) of the residue afforded 6β (841 mg, 2.2 mmol, 72%) and 6α (312 mg, 0.82 mmol, 26%). Data for compound 6 β : colorless oil; [α]_D −49.8 (c 0.41, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 1464, 1254, 1133, 1099 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 0.07 (3H, s), 0.08 (3H, s), 0.90 (9H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.47 (3H, s), 3.72 $(1H, dd, J = 11.0, 5.0 Hz)$, 3.78 $(1H, dd, J = 11.0, 4.7 Hz)$, 3.80 $(1H,$ dd, J = 4.7, 1.6 Hz), 3.93 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 4.7 Hz), 4.09 (1H, ddd, J = 6.3, 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.50 (1H, d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 4.78 (1H, d, $J = 12.0$ Hz), 5.10 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.33 (5H, m); 13C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –5.43 (CH₃), –5.35 (CH₃), 18.3 (C), 25.9 (3 × CH₃), 58.2 (CH₃), 58.3 (CH₃), 64.2 (CH₂), 69.3 (CH₂), 80.2 (CH), 81.9 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 103.6 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.9 (2 × CH), 128.3 (2 \times CH), 137.6 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 405 (M⁺ + Na); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{34}NaO_5Si$ 405.2073, found 405.2075. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{34}O_5Si$: C, 62.79; H, 8.96. Found: C, 63.03; H, 8.67. Data for compound 6α : colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +97.1 (c 0.52, CHCl₃); IR 2928, 1464, 1254, 1111, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.05 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s), 0.89 (9H, s), 3.43 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.61 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 5.0 Hz), 3.67 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 4.4 Hz), 3.71 (1H, dd, $J = 10.7$, 3.5 Hz), 3.78 (1H, dd, $J = 6.6$, 2.2 Hz), 4.16 (1H, ddd, $J = 4.7, 3.5, 2.5$ Hz), 4.68 (1H, d, $J = 12.6$ Hz), 4.83 (1H, d, J = 12.6 Hz), 5.06 (1H, d, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.31 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C –5.5 (CH₃), –5.4 (CH₃), 18.2 (C), 25.8 $(3 \times CH_3)$, 58.5 (CH_3) , 58.6 (CH_3) , 63.8 (CH_2) , 68.6 (CH_2) , 78.4 (CH), 80.8 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 98.8 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 128.1 (2 \times CH), 128.2 (2 \times CH), 138.0 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 405 $(M^+ + Na, 100)$; HRMS (ESI^+) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{34}NaO_5Si$ 405.2073, found 405.2076. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₄O₅Si: C, 62.79; H, 8.96. Found: C, 62.79; H, 8.84.

5-O-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-D-ribofuranose (7). A solution of 6β , α (2.19 gr, 5.73 mmol) in EtOAc (64 mL) containing Pd/C (10%) hydrogenation catalyst (219 mg) was deoxygenated and stirred under hydrogen at room temperature and atmospheric pressure for 6 h. The mixture was then filtered through

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article**

Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 75:25 \rightarrow 70:30) to give 7 (1.56 gr, 5.34 mmol, 93%) as an anomeric mixture: colorless oil (ratio $\alpha:\beta = 63:37$); IR 3443, 2931, 1471, 1256, 1130, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H complex spectrum; ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ complex spectrum; MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 315 (M^+ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{28}NaO_5Si$ 315.1604, found 315.1604. Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{28}O_5Si$: C, 53.39; H, 9.65. Found: C, 53.41; H, 9.58.

1-O-Acetyl-2,5-anhydro-6-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-3,4-di-O-methyl-p-altritol (8α) and 1-O-Acetyl-2,5-anhydro-6-O-(tertbutyldimethylsilyl)-3,4-di-O-methyl-D-allitol (8β). A solution of trimethylsulfoxonium iodide (1763 mg, 8.01 mmol) and potassium tert-butoxide (719 mg, 6.41 mmol) in dry DMSO (7.5 mL) was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen for 30 min. Then 7 (1560 mg, 5.34 mmol) dissolved in dry DMSO (3.7 mL) was added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 4 h. An aqueous saturated solution of $NH₄Cl$ was added and the mixture extracted with $Et₂O$. The organic layer was dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes− EtOAc, $90:10 \rightarrow 65:35$). The alcohols (773 mg, 2.53 mmol, 47%) were acetylated with Ac_2O (4 mL) in pyridine (12 mL) for 16 h at room temperature to give a mixture of acetates which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 95:5 → 85:15) to afford 8α (667 mg, 1.92 mmol, 76%) and 8β (143 mg, 0.41 mmol, 16%) as colorless oils. Data for compound 8α : $[\alpha]_D$ +33.2 (c 0.91, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 1731, 1463, 1371, 1258, 1131 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.06 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s), 0.90 (9H, s), 2.07 (3H, s), 3.43 (3H, s), 3.49 (3H, s), 3.67 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 3.5 Hz), 3.69 $(1H, dd, J = 11.0, 3.8 Hz), 3.87 (1H, dd, J = 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 3.95 (1H, dd,$ $J = 5.0, 5.0$ Hz), 4.05 (1H, ddd, $J = 4.1, 4.1, 4.1$ Hz), 4.22 (1H, dd, $J =$ 10.7, 8.5 Hz), 4.26 (1H, ddd, J = 8.5, 5.4, 2.8 Hz), 4.35 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 2.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –5.5 (CH₃), –5.4 (CH₃), 18.2 (C), 20.9 (CH₃), 25.8 (3 \times CH₃), 58.2 (CH₃), 59.5 $(CH₃), 63.4 (CH₂), 64.1 (CH₂), 77.7 (CH), 80.4 (CH), 80.8 (CH),$ 81.6 (CH), 170.9 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 371 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{32}NaO_6Si$ 371.1866, found 371.1865. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₂O₆Si: C, 55.14; H, 9.25. Found: C, 55.15; H, 9.07. Data for compound 8β : $[\alpha]_D$ –35.6 (c 0.50, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 1747, 1471, 1373, 1241, 1135 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.065 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s), 0.90 (9H, s), 2.08 (3H, s), 3.425 (3H, s), 3.431 (3H, s), 3.59 (1H, dd, J = 7.3, 5.4 Hz), 3.62 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 5.1 Hz), 3.68 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 3.8 Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, J = 5.4, 3.5 Hz), 4.03 (1H, dd, $J = 11.4$, 6.3 Hz), 4.04 (1H, ddd, $J = 4.1$, 2.8, 2.8 Hz), 4.11 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.6, 6.6, 3.5$ Hz), 4.30 (1H, dd, $J =$ 11.4, 3.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –5.6 (CH₃), –5.4 (CH₃), 18.2 (C), 20.8 (CH₃), 25.8 (3 \times CH₃), 57.7 (CH₃), 58.0 $(CH₃)$, 63.3 (CH₂), 65.0 (CH₂), 78.1 (CH), 79.3 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 82.6 (CH), 170.7 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 371 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{32}NaO_6Si$ 371.1866, found 371.1868. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{32}O_6Si$: C, 55.14; H, 9.25. Found: C, 55.29; H, 9.04.

2,5-Anhydro-6-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-3,4-di-O-methyl-**D-altritol (9).** To a solution of 8α (706 mg, 2.03 mmol) in MeOH (32 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (280 mg, 2.03 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 2 h, neutralized with Amberlist 15H⁺, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 70:30 → 60:40) to give 9 (597 mg, 1.95 mmol, 96%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +2.1 (c 1.16, CHCl₃); IR 3442, 2929, 1465, 1253, 1133 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 0.06 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s), 0.90 (9H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.49 (3H, s), 3.64 (1H, dd, $J =$ 11.0, 4.4 Hz), 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 3.8 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 4.7 Hz), 3.79 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 4.7 Hz), 3.87 (1H, dd, $J = 5.4$, 3.5 Hz), 4.06 (1H, dd, $J = 5.4$, 6.6 Hz), 4.11 (1H, ddd, $J = 3.5$, 3.5, 3.5 Hz), 4.19 (1H, ddd, J = 6.6, 4.7, 4.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c -5.6 (CH₃), -5.4 (CH₃), 18.2 (C), 25.8 (3 × CH₃), 58.1 (CH₃), 59.2 (CH₃), 62.0 (CH₂), 63.7 (CH₂), 79.3 (CH), 80.4 (CH), 80.8 (CH), 82.0 (CH), MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 329 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS

(ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₃₀NaO₅Si 329.1760, found 329.1762. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₃₀O₅Si: C, 54.87; H, 9.87. Found: C, 54.74; H, 9.87.

Oxidative HAT of 9. A solution of alcohol 9 (63 mg, 0.206 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (8.1 mL) containing DIB (73 mg, 0.227 mmol), I_2 (26 mg, 0.103 mmol), and powdered molecular sieves 3 Å (63 mg) was stirred under nitrogen at 27 °C for 1 h while being irradiated with two 80 W tungsten-filament lamps. An excess of solid $Na₂S₂O₃$ was then added and stirring continued until complete disappearance of the iodine color. The reaction mixture was then filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Silica gel column chromatography of the reaction residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 90:10 → 50:50) afforded 1-Oacetyl-5-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-β-D-ribofuranose (11β) (12.8 mg, 0.038 mmol, 19%), 5-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3 di-O-methyl-β-D-ribofuranosyl-(1→1)-2,5-anhydro-6-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-3,4-di-O-methyl-D-altritol (12β) (5.2 mg, 0.009 mmol, 9%), 1-O-acetyl-5-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-ribofuranose (11α) $(9.9 \text{ mg}, 0.03 \text{ mmol}, 15\%)$, and $5-O-(tert$ butyldimethylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-ribofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 1)$ -2,5-anhydro-6-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-3,4-di-O-methyl-D-altritol (12α) (4.5 mg, 0.008 mmol, 8%). Data for compound 11β : colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +3.0 (c 0.96, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 1747, 1465, 1373, 1232, 1133, 1098 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 0.06 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s), 0.91 (9H, s), 2.05 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.52 (3H, s), 3.70 (1H, dd, $J = 11.6, 3.8$ Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, $J = 11.4, 3.5$ Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, $J = 4.8$, 1.0 Hz), 3.96 (1H, dd, J = 7.2, 4.7 Hz), 4.09 (1H, ddd, J = 7.0, 3.5, 3.5 Hz), 6.14 (1H, d, J = 1.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c -5.5 (CH₃), -5.4 (CH₃), 18.3 (C), 21.2 (CH₃), 25.9 (3 × CH₃), 58.2 (CH_3) , 58.3 (CH₃), 62.7 (CH₂), 78.4 (CH), 81.9 (CH), 82.6 (CH), 98.3 (CH), 169.7 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 357 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₅H₃₀NaO₆Si 357.1709, found 357.1714. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₃₀O₆Si: C, 53.86; H, 9.04. Found: C, 53.83; H, 8.95. Data for compound 11α : colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +44.7 (c 0.43, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 1747, 1465, 1378, 1241, 1111, 1011 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 0.06 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s), 0.90 (9H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.47 (3H, s), 3.63 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 4.7 Hz), 3.70 (1H, dd, $J = 11.0$, 3.2 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, $J = 6.3$, 1.9 Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, J = 6.3, 4.1 Hz), 4.28 (1H, ddd, J = 4.4, 2.8, 1.6 Hz), 6.34 (1H, d, J = 4.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c –5.6 (CH₃), -5.4 (CH₃), 18.2 (C), 21.4 (CH₃), 25.8 (3 × CH₃), 58.5 (CH₃), 59.1 $(CH₃), 63.6$ (CH₂), 78.2 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 84.7 (CH), 94.3 (CH), 170.8 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 357 (M⁺+ Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{30}NaO_6Si$ 357.1709, found 357.1710. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{30}O_6Si$: C, 53.86; H, 9.04. Found: C, 54.11; H, 9.23. Data for compound 12 β : colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D$ –2.7 (c 0.3, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 1464, 1255, 1136, 1107 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.060 (6H, s), 0.063 (3H, s), 0.067 (3H, s), 0.897 (9H, s), 0.899 (9H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.42 (3H, s) 3.47 (3H, s), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.64 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 8.5 Hz), 3.66−3.69 (3H, m), 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 4.4 Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, J = 4.7, 1.3 Hz), 3.84−3.91 (4H, m), 4.00−4.05 (2H, m), 4.19 (1H, ddd, J = 8.5, 5.4, 3.2 Hz), 5.06 (1H, d, J = 0.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C –5.09 (CH₃), –5.02 (CH₃), –4.98 (CH₃), −4.95 (CH₃), 18.66 (C), 18.72 (C), 26.3 (6 \times CH₃), 58.5 (CH₃), 58.69 (CH₃), 58.65 (CH₃), 59.9 (CH₃), 63.9 (CH₂), 64.8 (CH_2) , 67.7 (CH₂), 79.8 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 81.4 (CH), 81.7 (2 \times CH), 82.0 (CH), 82.5 (CH), 105.3 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 603 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{56}NaO_9Si_2$ 603.3361, found 603.3369. Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{56}O_{9}Si_2$: C, 55.83; H, 9.72. Found: C, 55.53; H, 9.87. Data for compound 12α: colorless oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}$ +33.5 (c 0.48, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 1471, 1257, 1136, 1078 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMR (500 MHz CDCl) δ 0.05 (3H s) 0.054 (3H s) 0.06 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.05 (3H, s), 0.054 (3H, s), 0.06 (3H, s), 0.07 (3H, s), 0.89 (9H, s), 0.90 (9H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.42 $(3H, s)$, 3.47 $(3H, s)$, 3.54 $(3H, s)$, 3.62 $(1H, dd, J = 10.7, 5.1 Hz)$, 3.65 (1H, dd, $J = 11.4$, 3.2 Hz), 3.68 (1H, dd, $J = 6.6$, 4.4 Hz), 3.71 $(1H, dd, J = 10.7, 3.5 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 3.2 Hz), 3.76 (1H,$ dd, J = 6.9, 2.8 Hz), 3.79 (1H, dd, J = 10.4, 7.9 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, J = 10.4, 5.7 Hz), 3.87 (1H, dd, $J = 6.6$, 4.1 Hz), 3.91 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.6$, 3.5, 3.5 Hz), 3.94 (1H, dd, J = 4.4, 4.4 Hz), 4.14 (1H, ddd, J = 5.1, 3.5, 2.6 Hz), 4.25 (1H, ddd, J = 7.6, 5.7, 4.1 Hz), 5.07 (1H, d, J = 4.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) $δ$ _C −5.48 (CH₃), −5.47 (CH₃), −5.35 (CH_3) , −5.30 (CH₃), 18.26 (C), 18.32 (C), 25.85 (3 × CH₃), 25.92

 $(3 \times CH_3)$, 58.3 (CH₃), 58.4 (CH₃), 58.6 (CH₃), 59.8 (CH₃), 63.1 $(CH₂)$, 63.8 (CH₂), 66.0 (CH₂), 78.1 (CH), 78.6 (CH), 79.2 (CH), 80.4 (CH), 80.9 (CH), 81.4 (CH), 82.9 (CH), 101.2 (CH); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 603 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{56}NaO_9Si_2$ 603.3361, found 603.3373. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₅₆O₉Si₂: C, 55.83; H, 9.72. Found: C, 55.98; H, 9.58.

2,5-Anhydro-3,4-di-O-methyl-D-altritol (10). To a solution of 9 (200 mg, 0.653 mmol) in dry THF (16.8 mL) was added TBAF/THF 1 M (1.63 mL, 1.63 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by silica gel column chromatography $(CHCl₃ \rightarrow CHCl₃–MeOH, 95:5)$ to give 10 (114 mg, 0.59 mmol, 91%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +2.1 (c 1.16, CHCl₃); IR 3412, 2936, 1456, 1144, 1067 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 3.46 (3H, s), 3.52 (3H, s), 3.60 (1H, dd, $J = 12.3$, 3.8 Hz), 3.77 (1H, dd, $J = 12.0$, 4.7 Hz), 3.82 (1H, dd, $J = 12.0$, 3.2 Hz), 3.83 (1H, dd, $J = 5.7$, 5.7 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 5.7 Hz), 3.99 (1H, dd, $J = 5.1$, 5.1 Hz), 4.09 $(1H, ddd, J = 6.0, 3.5, 3.5 Hz), 4.18 (1H, ddd, J = 5.4, 5.4, 4.6 Hz);$ ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 58.5 (CH₃), 59.7 (CH₃), 61.8 (CH₂), 62.6 (CH₂), 80.2 (CH), 80.4 (CH), 80.9 (CH), 81.1 (CH); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 215 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_8H_{16}NaO_5$ 215.0895, found 215.0893. Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_{16}O_5$: C, 49.99; H, 8.39. Found: C, 49.73; H, 8.56.

Oxidative HAT of 10. A solution of alcohol 10 (55 mg, 0.286 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (11.3 mL) containing DIB (101.3 mg, 0.315 mmol), I_2 (36.3 mg, 0.143 mmol), and powdered molecular sieves 3 Å (55 mg) was stirred under nitrogen at 26 °C for 1 h while being irradiated with two 80 W tungsten-filament lamps. An excess of solid $Na₂S₂O₃$ was then added and stirring continued until complete disappearance of the iodine color. The reaction mixture was then filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. TLC and ¹H NMR analyses clearly showed that this substrate afforded a complex mixture of fragmentation products that was not studied.

Methyl 5-O-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-α-D-arabinofuranoside (14). To a solution of methyl 2-O-methyl- α -Darabinofuranoside $(13)^{34}$ $(357 \text{ mg}, 2.0 \text{ mmol})$ in dry DMF $(8.2$ mL) were added imidazole (341 mg, 5.0 mmol) and tertbutyldiphenylsilyl chlor[ide](#page-20-0) [(TBDPS)Cl] (576 μL, 2.2 mmol) under nitrogen at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h. MeOH was then added and the reaction mixture concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was coevaporated with toluene and purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 9:1 → 85:15) to give the alcohol 14 (826 mg, 1.99 mmol, 99%) as an oil: $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}$ +43.1 (c 0.420, CHCl₃); IR 3446, 2936, 1430, 1195, 1046 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMR (500 MHz CDCl) δ 1.07 (9H s) 3.35 (3H s) 3.37 (3H ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.07 (9H, s), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.37 (3H, s), 3.68 (1H, dd, $J = 2.5$, 1.3 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, $J = 10.4$, 6.3 Hz), 3.85 $(1H, dd, J = 10.4, 5.0 Hz), 4.07 (2H, m), 4.86 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz),$ 7.36−7.44 (6H, m), 7.67−7.69 (4H, m); 13C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 19.2 (C), 26.8 (3 × CH₃), 54.9 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 64.3 $(CH₂)$, 76.4 (CH), 84.5 (CH), 90.2 (CH), 106.8 (CH), 127.68 (2 × CH), 127.70 (2 \times CH), 129.7 (2 \times CH), 133.3 (2 \times C), 135.58 (2 \times CH); 135.60 (2 \times CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 439 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}NaO_SSi$ 439.1917, found 439.1914. Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}O_5Si$: C, 66.31; H, 7.74. Found: C, 66.24; H, 7.53.

Methyl 5-O-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-β-D-ribofuranoside (16). To a solution of methyl 2-O-methyl- β -D-ribofuranoside $(15)^{35}$ $(449 \text{ mg}, 2.52 \text{ mmol})$ in dry DMF (10 mL) were added imidazole (428 mg, 6.3 mmol) and (TBDPS)Cl (724 μ L, 2.77 mmol) und[er n](#page-20-0)itrogen at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for 0.5 h. Then MeOH was added, and the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was then coevaporated with toluene and purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 85:15) to give the alcohol 16 (970 mg, 2.33 mmol, 92%) as an oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ –2.5 (c 0.440, CHCl₃); IR 3468, 2933, 1465, 1426, 1111, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 1.07 (9H, s), 2.48 (1H, br s), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.51 (3H, s), 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 5.4, 0.9 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, $J = 11.0, 4.7$ Hz), 3.83 (1H, dd, $J = 11.0, 4.1$ Hz), 3.99 (1H, ddd, J = 4.7, 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, J = 5.4, 5.4 Hz), 4.91 (1H, s), 7.36−7.44 (6H, m), 7.69−7.71 (4H, m); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 19.2 (C), 26.8 (3 × CH₃), 55.2 (CH₃), 58.4 (CH₃), 64.6 (CH2), 70.9 (CH), 84.1 (CH), 84.6 (CH), 105.2 (CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 127.7 (2 × CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.7 (CH), 133.38 (C), 133.42 (C), 135.61 (2 × CH), 135.62 (2 × CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 439 $(M^+ + Na, 100)$; HRMS (ESI^+) m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}NaO_5Si$ 439.1917, found 439.1920. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₃₂O₅Si: C, 66.31; H, 7.74. Found: C, 66.00; H, 7.78.

Methyl 5-O-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-β-D-erythro- pentofuranosid-3-ulose (17). To a solution of alcohol ¹⁶ (720 mg, 1.73 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) containing solid NaHCO₃ (1.67) g, 19.91 mmol) was added Dess−Martin periodinane (1.10 g, 2.60 mmol) under nitrogen at 0° C, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Then the reaction mixture was poured into a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and Na₂S₂O₃ and extracted with $CH₂Cl₂$. The organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 95:5) to give the ketone 17 (598 mg, 1.44 mmol, 83%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ +16.3 (c 0.560, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 2858, 1773, 1465, 1428, 1113 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.04 (9H, s), 3.57 (3H, s), 3.59 (3H, s), 3.80 (1H, dd, J = 5.0, 1.1 Hz), 3.86 (1H, s), 3.87 (1H, s), 4.16 (1H, ddd, $J = 3.2, 3.2, 1.1$ Hz), 5.04 (1H, d, J = 5.0 Hz), 7.36−7.45 (6H, m), 7.65−7.74 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 19.2 (C), 26.7 (3 × CH₃), 55.9 (CH₃), 58.9 (CH₃), 63.8 (CH₂), 81.2 (CH), 83.6 (CH), 105.0 (CH), 127.68 (2 × CH), 127.74 (2 × CH), 129.7 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 133.86 (C), 133.89 (C), 135.6 (2 × CH), 135.7 (2 × CH), 210.0 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 437 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{30}NaO_5Si$ 437.1760, found 437.1763. Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{30}O_5Si$: C, 66.63; H, 7.29. Found: C, 66.53; H, 7.19.

Methyl 5-O-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-β-D-xylofuranoside (18). To a solution of ketone 17 (634 mg, 1.531 mmol) in EtOH/H₂O (5.7 mL, 9:1) was added NaBH₄ (104 mg, 2.756 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. After this time the mixture was cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, solid NH₄Cl was added, and the stirring was continued for 1 h. The mixture was then filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 90:10) to give in order of elution the alcohol 18 (446 mg, 1.072 mmol, 70%) and the alcohol 16 (89 mg, 0.214 mmol, 14%) described previously. Data for compound 18: $[\alpha]_D$ –35.1 (c 0.730, CHCl₃); IR 3509, 2933, 2858, 1465, 1428, 1111, 1050 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 1.06 $(9H, s)$, 3.34 $(3H, s)$, 3.44 $(3H, s)$, 3.75 $(1H, s)$, 3.88 $(1H, dd, J =$ 10.7, 5.4 Hz), 4.04 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 5.7 Hz), 4.24 (1H, d, J = 4.4 Hz), 4.31 (1H, ddd, J = 5.4, 5.4, 5.4 Hz), 4.90 (1H, s), 7.37−7.45 (6H, m), 7.70−7.73 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 19.1 (C), 26.8 $(3 \times CH_3)$, 55.3 (CH₃), 57.6 (CH₃), 63.3 (CH₂), 74.2 (CH), 82.5 (CH), 89.2 (CH), 106.8 (CH), 127.7 (4 × CH), 129.7 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 133.09 (C), 133.14 (C), 135.6 (4 × CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 439 $(M^+ + Na, 100)$; HRMS (ESI^+) m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}NaO_5Si$ 439.1917, found 439.1916. Anal. Calcd for $(\%)$ for $C_{23}H_{32}O_5Si$: C, 66.31; H, 7.74. Found: C, 66.03; H, 7.72.

Methyl 3,5-O-(Tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3-diyl)-α-D-lyxo**furanoside (20).** To a solution of methyl α -D-lyxofuranoside $(19)^{36}$ (960 mg, 5.85 mmol) in dry pyridine (17.4 mL) was added 1,3 dichloro-1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxane (1.94 mL, 6.1 mmol), and t[he](#page-20-0) mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. After this time water was added and the mixture concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 100:0 → 98:2) to give compound 20 (1928 mg, 4.75 mmol, 81%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ +41.2 (c 0.427, CHCl₃); IR 3520, 2947, 2869, 1460, 1096, 1039 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.05 (28H, m), 2.90 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, OH), 3.37 (3H, s), 3.89 (1H, dd, $J = 10.1, 4.7$ Hz), 3.92 (1H, dd, $J = 10.4, 9.8$ Hz), 4.10 $(1H, ddd, J = 9.5, 5.4, 2.8 Hz), 4.13 (1H, ddd, J = 9.8, 4.7, 3.2 Hz),$ 4.43 (1H, dd, J = 5.4, 3.2 Hz), 4.79 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz); 13C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 12.4 (CH), 12.7 (CH), 12.8 (CH), 13.3 (CH), 16.95 (CH₃), 17.00 (CH₃), 17.16 (CH₃), 17.24 (CH₃), 17.27 (CH₃), 17.37 (2 × CH₃), 17.39 (CH₃), 55.6 (CH₃), 59.0 (CH₂), 71.2 (CH), 77.3 (CH), 79.5 (CH), 109.8 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 429 $(M^+ + Na, 100)$; HRMS (ESI^+) m/z calcd for

 $C_{18}H_{38}NaO_6Si_2$ 429.2105, found 429.2102. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{38}O_6Si_2$: C, 53.16; H, 9.42. Found: C, 53.22; H, 9.11.

Methyl 2-O-Methyl-3,5-O-(tetraisopropyldisiloxane-1,3 diyl)- α -D-lyxofuranoside (21). To a solution of 20 (1.89 g, 4.65 mmol) in dry acetone (24.2 mL) were added Ag_2O (4.31 g, 18.6 mmol) and methyl iodide (3.67 mL, 58.95 mmol) with stirring at room temperature. After 5 days the mixture was filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexanes–EtOAc, 100:0 \rightarrow 95:5) to give 21 as a colorless oil (800 mg, 1.9 mmol, 41%, 84% brsm) and starting material 20 (972 mg, 2.39 mmol, 51%). Data for compound 21: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +54.8 (c 0.425, CHCl₃); IR 2944, 2869, 1467, 1094, 1054, 1037 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl) δ , 105 (28H m) 3.441 (3H s) 3.443 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.05 (28H, m), 3.441 (3H, s), 3.443 $(3H, s)$, 3.64 $(1H, dd, J = 5.4, 4.1 Hz)$, 3.82 $(1H, dd, J = 10.1, 4.7 Hz)$, 3.89 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 10.1 Hz), 4.15 (1H, ddd, J = 10.1, 4.7, 2.2 Hz), 4.40 (1H, dd, J = 3.8, 2.2 Hz), 4.96 (1H, d, J = 5.4 Hz); 13C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 12.5 (CH), 12.6 (CH), 13.1 (CH), 13.4 (CH), 17.00 (CH₃), 17.01 (CH₃), 17.1 (CH₃), 17.2 (CH₃), 17.28 (CH_3) , 17.33 (CH_3) , 17.4 (CH_3) , 17.5 (CH_3) , 56.5 (CH_3) , 58.7 $(CH₃),$ 59.0 $(CH₂),$ 70.1 $(CH),$ 79.6 $(CH),$ 86.6 $(CH),$ 107.6 $(CH);$ MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 443 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{40}NaO_6Si_2$ 443.2261, found 443.2263. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{40}O_6Si_2$: C, 54.25; H, 9.58. Found: C, 54.25; H, 9.31.

Methyl 2-O-Methyl- α -D-lyxofuranoside (22). To a solution of compound 21 (1038 mg, 2.47 mmol) in dry THF (16.9 mL) was added a 1 M solution of TBAF/THF (6.2 mL, 6.2 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 50:50 → 0:100) to give diol 22 (434 mg, 2.44 mmol, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +96.1 (c 0.31, CHCl₃); IR 3420, 2944, 2836, 1456, 1119, 1042 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 3.36 (3H, s), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.67 $(1H, dd, J = 5.4, 1.6 Hz), 3.83 (1H, dd, J = 12.2, 3.8 Hz), 3.87 (1H, dd,$ $J = 12.3, 4.4 Hz$, 4.10 (1H, ddd, $J = 5.7, 4.4, 4.4 Hz$), 4.47 (1H, dd, $J =$ 5.4, 5.4 Hz), 4.90 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 55.2 (CH₃), 58.8 (CH₃), 61.4 (CH₂), 71.5 (CH), 79.1 (CH), 84.5 (CH), 105.3 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 201 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_7H_{14}NaO_5$ 201.0739, found 201.0734. Anal. Calcd for C₇H₁₄O₅: C, 47.18; H, 7.92. Found: C, 47.42; H, 7.76.

Methyl 5-O-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-α-D-lyxofuranoside (23). To a solution of diol 22 (402 mg, 2.26 mmol) in dry DMF (9.2 mL) were added imidazole (384 mg, 5.6 mmol) and (TBDPS)Cl (649 μ L, 2.49 mmol) under nitrogen at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h. MeOH was then added, and the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was then coevaporated with toluene and purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 90:10 → 85:15) to give the alcohol 23 (928 mg, 2.23 mmol, 99%) as an oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +52 (c 0.54, CHCl₃); IR 3498, 2933, 2858, 1471, 1428, 1115, 1052 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta_H$ 1.06 (9H, s), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.73 $(1H, dd, J = 5.1, 2.8 Hz), 3.90 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 5.4 Hz), 4.03 (1H, dd, J = 10.75, 5.4 Hz)$ $J = 10.7, 5.4$ Hz), 4.14 (1H, ddd, $J = 5.4, 5.4, 4.0$ Hz), 4.37 (1H, dd, $J =$ 5.0, 4.0 Hz), 4.93 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz), 7.36−7.44 (6H, m), 7.39−7.73 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 19.2 (C), 26.7 (3 \times CH₃), 55.5 (CH₃), 58.6 (CH₃), 62.4 (CH₂), 70.6 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 86.2 (CH), 106.6 (CH), 127.62 (2 × CH), 127.64 (2 × CH), 129.6 (2 × CH), 133.3 (C), 133.4 (C), 135.6 (2 × CH), 135.7 (2 × CH); MS $(ESI⁺) m/z$ (rel intens) 439 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}NaO_5Si$ 439.1917, found 439.1919. Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}O_5Si$: C, 66.31; H, 7.74. Found: C, 66.30; H, 7.63.

General Procedure for the Glycosylations. To a stirred 0.12 M solution of trichloroacetimidate (2.3 equiv) and alcohol acceptor (1 equiv) in dry CH_2Cl_2 containing freshly activated powdered 3 Å molecular sieves (50 wt % with respect to the acceptor) was added dropwise at 0 °C a 0.2 M solution of (TMS) OTf/CH₂Cl₂ (0.025) equiv), and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for ca. 2 h. The reaction mixture was then poured into a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced

pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc mixtures).

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-acetyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-5-O- (*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-α-p-arabinofuranoside
(25). Compound 25 was prepared from 24^{28,29a} (761 mg, 1.808 mmol) and 14 (327 mg, 0.786 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chro[matog](#page-20-0)raphy (hexanes− EtOAc, 85:15 \rightarrow 75:25) to give the disaccharide 25 (503 mg, 0.747 mmol, 95%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +87.4 (c 0.310, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 1757, 1745, 1428, 1369, 1228, 1113 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.06 (9H, s), 1.98 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.10 (3H, s), 3.38 $(3H, s)$, 3.41 $(3H, s)$, 3.80 $(1H, dd, J = 3.5, 1.6 Hz)$, 3.84 $(2H, br d, J =$ 3.8 Hz), 4.06−4.10 (2H, m), 4.13 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 5.4 Hz), 4.25 (1H, dd, $J = 6.6, 3.5$ Hz), 4.26 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7, 3.5$ Hz), 4.89 (1H, d, $J =$ 1.6 Hz), 4.97 (1H, ddd, $J = 5.1$, 1.6, 0.6 Hz), 5.09 (1H, d, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 5.18 (1H, s), 7.35−7.44 (6H, m), 7.69−7.71 (4H, m); 13C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 19.3 (C), 20.63 (CH₃), 20.64 (CH₃), 20.71 (CH_3) , 26.7 (3 × CH₃), 54.9 (CH₃), 57.6 (CH₃), 63.1 (CH₂), 63.2 (CH₂), 76.9 (CH), 79.7 (CH), 80.4 (CH), 81.4 (CH), 81.8 (CH), 90.1 (CH), 104.7 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 127.57 (2 × CH), 127.64 (2 × CH), 129.59 (CH), 129.66 (CH), 133.42 (C), 133.49 (C), 135.58 (2 \times CH), 135.66 (2 \times CH), 169.6 (C), 170.0 (C), 170.5 (C); MS $(ESI⁺) m/z$ (rel intens) 697 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{34}H_{46}NaO_{12}Si$ 697.2656, found 697.2650. Anal. Calcd for $C_{34}H_{46}O_{12}Si$: C, 60.52; H, 6.87. Found C, 60.23; H, 6.57.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-5-O- (tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-α-D-arabinofuranoside (26). To a solution of compound 25 (452 mg, 0.671 mmol) in MeOH (32 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (278 mg, 2 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, then neutralized with Amberlyst $15 H⁺$ ion-exchange resin for 1 h, filtered, and concentrated. To the crude residue (550 mg) in dry DMF (8 mL) was added NaH, 60% dispersion in mineral oil (161 mg, 4 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen until all hydrogen evolution had ceased. Then an excess of methyl iodide (313 μ L, 5 mmol) was added and stirring continued at room temperature for 3 h. Excess reagent was destroyed by addition of MeOH, and the mixture was concentrated under high vacuum. Column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 85:15) of the residue afforded 26 (386.5 mg, 0.655 mmol, 98%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +100.0 (c 0.470, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 1428, 1188, 1112 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) (hydrogens at C5′a and C5′b have very similar chemical shifts, and as a consequence, the coupled hydrogen at C4′ appears as a complex signal by virtual coupling; the data for hydrogens at C4′ and C5′ shown here have been calculated by iterative simulation using DAISY) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.06 (9H, s), 3.32 (3H, s), 3.37 (3H, s), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.44 $(2H, br d, J = 4.2 Hz), 3.63 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 3.2 Hz), 3.75 (1H, dd, J = 1.2 Hz)$ 3.2, 1.3 Hz), 3.76 (1H, dd, J = 3.5, 1.6 Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, J = 11.3, 5.4 Hz, H-5'a), 3.86 (1H, dd, J = 11.3, 2.8 Hz, H-5'b), 3.91 (1H, ddd, J = 6.6, 4.1, 4.1 Hz), 4.09 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.6$, 4.4, 3.5 Hz, H-4'), 4.21 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 3.2 Hz), 4.88 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 5.12 (1H, br s), 7.34– 7.41 (6H, m), 7.70–7.72 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 19.3 (C), 26.7 (3 \times CH₃), 54.8 (CH₃), 57.5 (2 \times CH₃), 58.0 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 63.5 (CH₂), 71.8 (CH₂), 79.5 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 82.3 (CH), 85.3 (CH), 90.0 (CH), 90.4 (CH), 104.8 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 127.5 (2 × CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 129.48 (CH), 129.51 (CH), 133.66 (C), 133.69 (C), 135.66 (2 × CH), 135.70 (2 × CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 613 (M^+ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{31}H_{46}NaO_9Si$ 613.2809, found 613.2819. Anal. Calcd for $C_{31}H_{46}O_9Si$: C, 63.02; H, 7.85. Found C, 63.20; H, 7.65.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-2-Omethyl- α -D-arabinofuranoside (42). To a solution of disaccharide 26 (338.5 mg, 0.574 mmol) in dry THF (14.7 mL) was added dropwise a 1 M solution of Bu4NF/THF (1.43 mL, 1.43 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4.5 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (hexanes–EtOAc, 1:1 \rightarrow 0:1) to give the alcohol 42 (190 mg, 0.540 mmol, 94%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +157.0 (c 1.120, CHCl₃); IR 3494, 2933, 2828, 1456, 1109, 1052 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.357 (3H, s), 3.360 (3H, s), 3.37 (6H,

s), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.50 (1H, dd, J = 10.4, 5.4 Hz), 3.55 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 3.8 Hz), 3.56 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 3.2 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 3.8 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 3.2, 1.6 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 3.5, 1.9 Hz), 3.82 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 3.2 Hz), 4.02 (2H, m), 4.06 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 3.2 Hz), 4.84 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz), 5.10 (1H, br s); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 + D₂O) δ _H 3.05 (3H, s), 3.07 (3H, s), 3.072 (3H, s), 3.13 (3H, s), 3.15 $(3H, s)$, 3.40 $(2H, m)$, 3.68 $(1H, dd, J = 6.9, 3.2 Hz)$, 3.86 $(1H, dd, J = 1.94)$ 3.2, 1.3 Hz), 3.90 (2H, m), 3.96 (1H, dd, J = 3.2, 1.3 Hz), 4.28 (2H, m), 4.43 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 3.2 Hz), 4.88 (1H, br s), 5.30 (1H, br s);¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 54.8 (CH₃), 57.51 (CH₃), 57.54 (CH_3) , 58.0 (CH_3) , 59.3 (CH_3) , 62.0 (CH_2) , 72.3 (CH_2) , 80.2 (CH) , 80.7 (CH), 82.0 (CH), 85.4 (CH), 89.7 (CH), 90.4 (CH), 105.4 (CH), 106.7 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 375 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{28}NaO_9$ 375.1631, found 375.1628. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₈O₉: C, 51.13; H, 8.01. Found C, 51.48; H, 7.95.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-acetyl- α -D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-β-D-ribofuranoside (27). Compound 27 was prepared from $24^{28,29a}$ (327 mg, 0.768 mmol) and 16 (139 mg, 0.334 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chro[matog](#page-20-0)raphy (hexanes−EtOAc, 80:20) to give the disaccharide 27 (216 mg, 0.320 mmol, 96%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ +49.0 (c 0.390, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 1747, 1430, 1371, 1228, 1044 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.07 (9H, s), 1.98 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.10 (3H, s), 3.36 (3H, s), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.68 $(1H, dd, J = 11.4, 4.5 Hz)$, 3.72 $(1H, dd, J = 4.8, 1.6 Hz)$, 3.84 $(1H, dd,$ J = 11.4, 3.4 Hz), 4.09−4.19 (3H, m), 4.29 (1H, dd, J = 11.4, 2.9 Hz), 4.48 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 4.5 Hz), 4.93 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 4.96 (1H, d, J $= 4.8, 1.6$ Hz), 5.13 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz), 5.15 (1H, s), 7.35–7.44 (6H, m), 7.68−7.71 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 19.2 (C), 20.6 (CH₃), 20.68 (CH₃), 20.70 (CH₃), 26.8 (3 \times CH₃), 55.8 (CH₃), 58.4 (CH₃), 63.1 (CH₂), 63.9 (CH₂), 73.9 (CH), 76.9 (CH), 80.9 (CH), 81.1 (CH), 81.9 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 104.8 (CH), 106.0 (CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 127.7 (2 × CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.7 (CH), 133.3 (C), 133.4 (C), 135.6 (4 \times CH), 169.4 (C), 170.0 (C), 170.5 (C); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 697 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{34}H_{46}NaO_{12}Si$ 697.2656, found 697.2657. Anal. Calcd for $C_{34}H_{46}O_{12}Si: C, 60.52; H, 6.87.$ Found C, 60.30; H, 6.92.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl- α -D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-β-D-ribofuranoside (28). To a solution of compound 27 (405 mg, 0.601 mmol) in MeOH (28.5 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (249 mg, 1.803 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, then neutralized with Amberlyst 15 H⁺ ion-exchange resin for 1 h, filtered, and concentrated. To the crude residue in dry DMF (7.2 mL) was added NaH, 60% dispersion in mineral oil (144 mg, 3.606 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen until all hydrogen evolution had ceased. Then an excess of methyl iodide (281 μ L, 4.507 mmol) was added and stirring continued at room temperature for 3 h. Excess reagent was destroyed by addition of MeOH, and the mixture was concentrated under high vacuum. Column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 80:20) of the residue afforded 28 (269 mg, 0.456 mmol, 76%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +54.0 (c 0.715, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 2828, 1463, 1111, 1054 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 1.06 (9H, s), 3.33 (3H, s), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 3.47 (1H, dd, $J = 10.7, 4.7$ Hz), 3.50 (1H, dd, $J = 10.7, 3.5$ Hz), 3.64 (1H, dd, $J = 7.2$, 3.5 Hz), 3.71 (1H, dd, $J = 11.4$, 4.7 Hz), 3.75 (1H, dd, $J = 4.7$, 1.6 Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, J = 3.5, 0.9 Hz), 3.82 (1H, dd, J = 11.4, 3.5 Hz), 3.99 $(1H, ddd, J = 7.6, 4.4, 4.4 Hz), 4.16 (1H, ddd, J = 6.3, 4.4, 3.5 Hz),$ 4.46 (1H, dd, $J = 6.3$, 4.7 Hz), 4.94 (1H, d, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 5.08 (1H, s), 7.35−7.43 (6H, m), 7.70−7.72 (4H, m); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 19.3 (C), 26.8 (3 × CH₃), 55.3 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 57.9 (CH_3) , 58.1 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 64.4 (CH₂), 71.8 (CH₂), 73.5 (CH), 80.5 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 82.6 (CH), 85.3 (CH), 90.1 (CH), 104.8 (CH), 105.8 (CH), 127.60 (2 \times CH), 127.64 (2 \times CH), 129.5 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 133.6 (2 × C), 135.65 (2 × CH), 135.67 (2 × CH); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 613 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C31H46NaO9Si 613.2809, found 613.2803. Anal. Calcd for

 $C_{31}H_{46}O_9Si$: C, 63.02; H, 7.85. Found C, 63.39; H, 7.86.
Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl- α -o-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-2-Omethyl-β-p-ribofuranoside (44). To a solution of disaccharide 28 (269 mg, 0.456 mmol) in dry THF (11.7 mL) was added dropwise a 1 M solution of Bu4NF/THF (1.14 mL, 1.14 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 10:90) to give the alcohol 44 (156 mg, 0.443 mmol, 97%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +78.3 (c 0.650, CHCl₃); IR 3490, 2929, 2828, 1456, 1107, 1052 cm $^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.39 (3H, s), 3.397 (3H, s), 3.403 (3H, s), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.53 $(1H, dd, J = 10.7, 5.7 Hz), 3.57–3.61 (2H, m), 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 11.7,$ 4.1 Hz), 3.73 (1H, d, J = 5.1 Hz), 3.77 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 3.5 Hz), 3.81 $(1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz)$, 4.07 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.0, 6.0, 3.5 Hz$), 4.16 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.9, 3.8, 3.8$ Hz), 4.38 (1H, dd, $J = 6.9, 5.0$ Hz), 4.90 (1H, s), 5.07 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 55.5 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 57.9 (CH₃), 58.3 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 63.2 (CH₂), 72.3 (CH₂), 74.3 (CH), 80.9 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 82.6 (CH), 85.5 (CH), 89.6 (CH), 105.0 (CH), 106.1 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 375 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{28}NaO_9$ 375.1631, found 375.1629. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{28}O_9$: C, 51.13; H, 8.01. Found C, 51.08; H, 8.05.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-acetyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-5-O- (tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-α-D-lyxofuranoside (30). Compound 30 was prepared from 29^{29} (850 mg, 2.02 mmol) and 23 (365 mg, 0.878 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chro[ma](#page-20-0)tography (hexanes−EtOAc, $85:15 \rightarrow 75:25$) to give the disaccharide 30 (587 mg, 0.871 mmol, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ –38.7 (c 0.23, CHCl₃); IR 2936, 2858, 1758, 1747, 1734, 1430, 1373, 1228, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.05 (9H, s), 1.78 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.07 (3H, s), 3.40 $(3H, s)$, 3.41 $(3H, s)$, 3.70 $(1H, dd, J = 3.8, 3.8 Hz)$, 3.86 $(1H, dd, J = 1.3.8)$ 11.0, 6.6 Hz), 3.93 (1H, dd, $J = 10.7$, 5.4 Hz), 4.05 (1H, ddd, $J = 5.4$, 3.8, 3.8 Hz), 4.08 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 5.4 Hz), 4.20 (1H, ddd, J = 6.6, 5.7, 3.8 Hz), 4.27 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 3.5 Hz), 4.42 (1H, dd, $J = 4.1$, 4.1 Hz), 4.87 (1H, ddd, J = 4.1, 0.9, 0.9 Hz), 4.95 (1H, d, J = 3.8 Hz), 5.08 $(1H, d, J = 1.2 Hz)$, 5.30 $(1H, br s)$, 7.34–7.42 $(6H, m)$, 7.65–7.69 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 19.2 (C), 20.3 (CH₃), 20.7 (2 \times CH₃), 26.8 (3 \times CH₃), 55.8 (CH₃), 58.7 (CH₃), 62.7 $(CH₂)$, 63.2 (CH₂), 73.4 (CH), 77.1 (CH), 79.9 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 81.2 (CH), 86.8 (CH), 105.1 (CH), 106.8 (CH), 127.67 (2 × CH), 127.68 (2 × CH), 129.69 (CH), 129.72 (CH), 133.5 (C), 133.8 (C), 135.5 (2 × CH), 135.6 (2 × CH), 169.1 (C), 170.0 (C), 170.4 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 697 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{34}H_{46}NaO_{12}Si$ 697.2656, found 697.2657. Anal. Calcd for

 $C_{34}H_{46}O_{12}Si: C, 60.52; H, 6.87.$ Found C, 60.41; H, 6.60.
Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl- α -**L-arabinofuranosyl-**(1→3)-5-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl- α -D-lyxofuranoside (31). To a solution of compound 30 (550 mg, 0.816 mmol) in MeOH (39 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (338 mg, 2.45 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, then neutralized with Amberlyst 15 H+ ion-exchange resin for 1 h, filtered, and concentrated. To the crude residue (627 mg) in dry DMF (9.7 mL) was added NaH, 60% dispersion in mineral oil (196 mg, 4.9 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen until all hydrogen evolution had ceased. Then an excess of methyl iodide (381 μ L, 6.12 mmol) was added and stirring continued at room temperature for 3 h. Excess reagent was destroyed by addition of MeOH, and the mixture was concentrated under high vacuum. Column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 85:15) of the residue afforded 31 (459 mg, 0.778 mmol, 95%) as a colorless oil: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}$ –27.5 (c 0.335, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 1471, 1191, 1115, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 1.05 (9H, s), 3.27 (3H, s), 3.32 (3H, s), 3.36 (3H, s), 3.39 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 5.1 Hz), 3.402 $(3H, s)$, 3.404 $(3H, s)$, 3.41 $(1H, dd, J = 10.4, 3.8 Hz)$, 3.53 $(1H, dd, J)$ $= 6.3, 2.5$ Hz), 3.68 (1H, dd, $J = 4.1, 4.1$ Hz), 3.70 (1H, dd, $J = 2.8, 0.9$ Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, $J = 11.0$, 6.6 Hz), 3.91 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.6$, 5.1, 3.8 Hz), 3.97 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 4.4 Hz), 4.21 (1H, ddd, J = 6.9, 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.40 (1H, dd, J = 4.1, 4.1 Hz), 4.93 (1H, d, J = 3.8 Hz), 5.18 (1H, br s), 7.34−7.42 (6H, m), 7.67−7.71 (4H, m); 13C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 19.3 (C), 26.9 (3 \times CH₃), 55.7 (CH₃), 57.4 (CH₃), 57.7 (CH_3) , 58.6 (CH₃), 59.2 (CH₃), 63.3 (CH₂), 72.2 (CH₂), 73.1 (CH), 80.4 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 85.3 (CH), 87.0 (CH), 89.6 (CH), 105.0 (CH), 106.7 (CH), 127.5 (2 × CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 129.5 (2 ×

CH), 133.9 (C), 134.1 (C), 135.6 (2 \times CH), 135.7 (2 \times CH); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 613 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{31}H_{46}NaO_9Si$ 613.2809, found 613.2808. Anal. Calcd for $C_{31}H_{46}O_9Si$: C, 63.02; H, 7.85. Found C, 62.96; H, 7.72.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl-α-L-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-2-Omethyl- α -D-lyxofuranoside (47). To a solution of disaccharide 31 (389 mg, 0.659 mmol) in dry THF (16.9 mL) was added dropwise a 1 M solution of Bu4NF/THF (1.65 mL, 1.65 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (hexanes–EtOAc, 1:1 \rightarrow 0:1) to give the alcohol 47 (209 mg, 0.594 mmol, 90%) as a colorless oil: $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}$ –67 (c 0.215, CHCl₃); IR 3498, 2936, 2832, 1456, 1113, 1042 cm $^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.10 (1H, br dd, J = 6.6, 6.6 Hz, OH), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.395 (3H, s), 3.399 (3H, s), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.45 (3H, s), 3.51 (2H, d, $J = 5.7$ Hz), 3.57 $(1H, dd, J = 4.7, 1.8 Hz), 3.71 (1H, dd, J = 5.1, 2.5 Hz), 3.73 (1H, m),$ 3.80 (1H, m), 3.82 (1H, dd, $J = 1.9$, 0.6 Hz), 4.15 (1H, ddd, $J = 5.4$, 5.4, 5.4 Hz), 4.18 (1H, ddd, J = 7.3, 5.7, 4.1 Hz), 4.60 (1H, dd, J = 5.4, 5.4 Hz), 4.96 (1H, d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 5.20 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (125.7) MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.4 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 57.8 (CH₃), 58.6 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 61.0 (CH₂), 72.9 (CH₂), 74.0 (CH), 78.2 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 84.6 (CH), 85.2 (CH), 88.1 (CH), 104.9 (CH), 106.1 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 375 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{28}NaO₉$ 375.1631, found 375.1628. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{28}O_9$: C, 51.13; H, 8.01. Found C, 51.29; H, 7.86.

Methyl 2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→3)-5-O- (tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-O-methyl-β-D-xylofuranoside (33). Compound 33 was prepared from 32^{30} (959 mg, 2.215 mmol) and 18 (384 mg, 0.923 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chr[om](#page-20-0)atography (hexanes−EtOAc, 85:15) to give the disaccharide 33 (590 mg, 0.857 mmol, 93%) as a colorless oil: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}$ –67.2 (c 0.360, CHCl₃); IR 2936, 2858, 1751, 1432, 1371, 1224, 1052 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 1.07 (9H, s), 1.13 (3H, d, J = 6.1 Hz), 1.91 (3H, s), 2.00 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.33 $(3H, s)$, 3.41 $(3H, s)$, 3.75 $(1H, dd, J = 1.6, 1.6 Hz)$, 3.82 $(1H, dd, J = 1.6, 1.6 Hz)$ 10.6, 5.0 Hz), 3.97 (1H, dddd, J = 9.5, 6.1, 6.1, 6.1 Hz), 4.10 (1H, dd, J $= 10.6, 6.6$ Hz), 4.23–4.28 (2H, m), 4.82 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 4.92 $(1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz)$, 5.04 $(1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.8 Hz)$, 5.24 $(1H, dd, J = 1.6 Hz)$ 3.5, 1.6 Hz), 5.30 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 3.4 Hz), 7.36−7.42 (6H, m), 7.69−7.72 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 17.6 (CH₃), 19.2 (C), 20.7 (2 \times CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 27.0 (3 \times CH₃), 55.5 (CH₃), 57.8 (CH₃), 62.1 (CH₂), 66.9 (CH), 68.9 (CH), 69.9 (CH), 71.1 (CH), 76.5 (CH), 80.9 (CH), 87.2 (CH), 95.3 (CH), 107.3 (CH), 127.7 (4 × CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.7 (CH), 133.4 (C), 133.6 (C), 135.5 (4 × CH), 169.54 (C), 169.96 (C), 170.05 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 711 (M^+ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{35}H_{48}NaO_{12}Si$ 711.2813, found 711.2819. Anal. Calcd for $C_{35}H_{48}O_{12}Si: C, 61.03; H, 7.02.$ Found C, 61.12; H, 7.03.
Methyl 2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl- α --rhamnopyranosyl-(1-3)-2-O-

methyl-β-D-xylofuranoside (50). To a solution of disaccharide 33 (590 mg, 0.858 mmol) in dry THF (21.9 mL) was added dropwise a 1 M solution of Bu₄NF/THF (3.0 mL, 3.0 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (hexanes− EtOAc, 40:60) to give the alcohol 50 (326 mg, 0.724 mmol, 84%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ –75.3 (c 0.933, CHCl₃); IR 3494, 2936, 2832, 1749, 1373, 1226, 1048 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 1.23 (3H, d, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 1.99 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.78−3.83 (2H, m), 3.858 (1H, dddd, J = 10.1, 6.4, 6.4, 6.4 Hz), 3.860 (1H, dd, J = 3.5, 2.2 Hz), 4.31 (1H, ddd, J = 6.9, 6.9, 4.7 Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 3.5 Hz), 4.85 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz), 4.94 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 5.07 (1H, dd, $J = 9.8$, 9.8 Hz), 5.23 (1H, dd, $J = 9.8$, 3.5) Hz), 5.25 (1H, dd, J = 3.5, 1.9 Hz); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.70 (1H, dd, $J = 10.1$, 3.5 Hz), 5.62 (1H, dd, $J = 3.2$, 1.9 Hz), 5.53 (1H, dd, $J = 10.1$, 10.1 Hz), 5.18 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 4.80 (1H, d, $J =$ 1.9 Hz), 4.36 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 3.8 Hz), 4.23 (1H, ddd, J = 6.3, 5.1, 5.1 Hz), 4.12 (1H, dddd, J = 9.8, 6.3, 6.3, 6.3 Hz), 3.96 (1H, dd, J = 3.8, 1.9 Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 5.4 Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 5.4), 3.12 (3H, s), 3.06(3H, s), 1.69 (3H, s), 1.66 (3H, s), 1.61, (3H, s), 1.24 (3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 17.5

 (CH_3) , 20.6 (CH_3) , 20.7 (CH_3) , 20.9 (CH_3) , 55.7 (CH_3) , 57.9 (CH_3) , 62.0 (CH2), 67.3 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 69.8 (CH), 70.9 (CH), 79.1 (CH), 80.5 (CH), 88.6 (CH), 96.4 (CH), 107.6 (CH), 169.8 (C), 169.9 (C), 170.1 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 473 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}NaO_{12}$ 473.1635, found 473.1639. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}O_{12}$: C, 50.66; H, 6.71. Found C, 50.58; H, 6.58.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-acetyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→4)-6-O- (tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-ɒ-glucopyranoside
(35). Compound 35 was prepared from 24^{28,29a} (425 mg, 0.98 mmol) and 34^{11a} (101 mg, 0.22 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chromat[ograp](#page-20-0)hy (hexanes−EtOAc, 7:3) t[o g](#page-19-0)ive the disaccharide 35 (160 mg, 0.22 mmol, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +83.6 (c 0.420, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 2855, 1745, 1369, 1223, 1043 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.05 (9H, s), 1.96 $(3H, s)$, 1.97 $(3H, s)$, 2.07 $(3H, s)$, 3.19 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.7 Hz)$, 3.43 (3H, s), 3.52 (3H, s), 3.54−3.59 (2H, m), 3.55 (3H, s), 3.68 (1H, m), 3.79 (1H, dd, $J = 11.1$, 6.1 Hz), 3.83 (1H, m), 3.86 (1H, dd, $J = 11.1$, 2.1 Hz), 3.96 (1H, dd, $J = 11.9$, 5.0 Hz), 4.07 (1H, dd, $J = 4.0$, 11.9 Hz), 4.84 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz), 4.92 (1H, ddd, J = 4.8, 1.6, 0.5 Hz), 5.07 $(1H, dd, J = 1.6, 0.5 Hz), 5.45 (1H, s), 7.33–7.42 (6H, m), 7.68–7.70)$ (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 19.2 (C), 20.59 (CH₃), 20.62 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 26.7 (3 \times CH₃), 54.8 (CH₃), 58.9 (CH₃), 60.9 (CH₃), 63.0 (CH₂), 63.2 (CH₂), 70.8 (CH), 73.8 (CH), 76.9 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 81.0 (CH), 82.3 (CH), 83.6 (CH), 97.0 (CH), 106.3 (CH), 127.5 (2 × CH), 127.6 (2 × CH), 129.6 (2 × CH), 133.56 (C), 133.64 (C), 135.6 (2 × CH), 135.7 (2 × CH), 169.3 (C), 169.9 (C), 170.4 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 741 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{36}H_{50}NaO_{13}Si$ 741.2918, found 741.2938. Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{50}O_{13}Si$: C, 60.15; H, 7.01. Found C, 59.98; H, 6.83.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→4)-6-O- (tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (36). To a solution of compound 35 (450 mg, 0.627 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (258 mg, 1.88 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, then neutralized with Dowex (50 \times 8) H^+ ion-exchange resin for 1 h, filtered, and concentrated. To the crude residue in dry DMF (7.5 mL) was added NaH, 55% dispersion in mineral oil (164 mg, 3.76 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C under nitrogen until all hydrogen evolution had ceased. Then an excess of methyl iodide (293 μ L, 4.70 mmol) was added and stirring continued at this temperature for 3 h. Excess reagent was destroyed by addition of MeOH, and the mixture was concentrated under high vacuum. Column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 70:30) of the residue afforded 36 (278 mg, 0.438 mmol, 70%) as a colorless oil: $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}$ +98.2 (c 0.510, CHCl₃); IR 2931, 2827, 1363, 1112, 1045 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMP (400 MHz, CDCl) δ , 1.05 (9H c) 3.18 (1H dd I - 10.6 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.05 (9H, s), 3.18 (1H, dd, J = 10.6, 4.2 Hz), 3.20 (1H, dd, $J = 9.5$, 3.7 Hz), 3.23 (3H, s), 3.25 (1H, dd, $J =$ 10.6, 4.5 Hz), 3.30 (3H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.45 (1H, dd, J $= 9.3, 9.3$ Hz), 3.51 (3H, s), 3.54 (1H, dd, J = 6.1, 2.6 Hz), 3.568 (1H, dd, J = 9.2, 9.2 Hz), 3.574 (3H, s), 3.67 (1H, dd, J = 2.6, 0.8 Hz), 3.68−3.74 (2H, m), 3.78 (1H, dd, J = 10.9, 7.2 Hz), 3.96 (1H, dd, J = 10.9, 1.6 Hz), 4.84 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz), 5.33 (1H, s), 7.33−7.42 (6H, m), 7.68−7.73 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 19.3 (C), 26.8 (3 \times CH₃), 54.7 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 57.8 (CH₃), 58.6 (CH₃), 59.1 (CH₃), 60.8 (CH₃), 63.7 (CH₂), 71.2 (CH), 71.9 (CH₂), 74.7 (CH), 80.9 (CH), 82.5 (CH), 83.5 (CH), 85.5 (CH), 90.0 (CH), 96.7 (CH), 106.5 (CH), 127.5 (4 × CH), 129.4 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 133.8 (C), 133.9 (C), 135.68 (2 × CH), 135.71 (2 × CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 657 (M^+ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{33}H_{50}NaO_{10}Si$ 657.3071, found 657.3062. Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{50}O_{10}Si$: C, 62.43; H, 7.94. Found C, 62.34; H, 7.96.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-acetyl- α -D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→4)-2,3 $di-O$ -methyl- α -p-glucopyranoside (55). To a solution of disaccharide 35 (224 mg, 0.31 mmol) in dry THF (9 mL) was added dropwise a 1 M solution of Bu4NF/THF (0.77 mL, 0.77 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 30:70) to give the alcohol 55 (97.2 mg, 0.202 mmol, 65%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +102.8 (c 0.140,

CHCl₃); IR 3450, 2966, 1750, 1228, 1039 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 2.10 (3H, s), 2.107 (3H, s), 2.108 (3H, s), 2.30 (1H, br s), 3.21 (1H, dd, J = 9.1, 3.5 Hz), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.51 (3H, s), 3.57 (3H, s), 3.57−3.67 (3H, m), 3.74−3.81 (2H, m), 4.19 (1H, dd, J = 11.4, 6.3 Hz), 4.31 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.1$, 4.5, 4.5 Hz), 4.37 (1H, dd, $J = 11.4$, 3.8 Hz), 4.82 (1H, d, J = 3.5 Hz), 5.01 (1H, dd, J = 4.6, 1.8 Hz), 5.17 (1H, dd, J = 2.0, 0.8 Hz), 5.49 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 20.62 (CH₃), 20.64 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 55.2 (CH₃), 59.0 (CH₃), 61.0 (CH₃), 61.7 (CH₂), 63.4 (CH₂), 70.0 (CH), 74.2 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 81.1 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 97.6 (CH), 107.0 (CH), 169.3 (C), 169.9 (C), 170.6 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 503 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}NaO_{13}$ 503.1741, found 503.1743. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}O_{13}$: C, 50.00; H, 6.71. Found C, 50.22; H, 6.78.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→4)-2,3 di -O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (53). To a solution of compound 36 (280 mg, 0.442 mmol) in dry THF (11.4 mL) was added a 1 M solution of TBAF/THF (1.11 mL, 1.11 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (EtOAc) to give the alcohol 53 (174 mg, 0.439 mmol, 99%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +160.8 (c 0.375, CHCl₃); IR 3468, 2926, 2830, 1459, 1096, 1051 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.23 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 3.7 Hz), 3.387 (3H, s), 3.391 (3H, s), 3.395 (3H, s), 3.43 (3H, s), 3.488 (3H, s), 3.489 (1H, dd, J = 10.6, 5.8 Hz), 3.53 (1H, dd, J = 10.6, 4.8 Hz), 3.54−3.59 (2H, m), 3.57 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz), 3.58 (3H, s), 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.1 Hz), 3.72 $(1H, br d, J = 12.7 Hz)$, 3.75 $(1H, dd, J = 2.9, 1.3 Hz)$, 3.84 $(1H, br d, J = 1.3 Hz)$ $J = 12.2$ Hz), 4.12 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.1$, 6.1, 4.5 Hz), 4.82 (1H, d, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 5.41 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.1 (CH₃), 57.6 (CH₃), 58.0 (CH₃), 58.7 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 60.9 (CH₃), 61.7 $(CH₂)$, 70.4 (CH), 72.6 (CH₂), 74.8 (CH), 81.3 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 85.7 (CH), 89.6 (CH), 97.4 (CH), 107.2 (CH); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 419 (M⁺ + Na, 100). HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{32}NaO_{10}$ 419.1893, found 419.1886. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{32}O_{10}$: C, 51.51; H, 8.14. Found C, 51.44; H, 8.29.

Methyl 2,3,5,6-Tetra-O-acetyl-α-D-mannofuranosyl-(1→4)-6- O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-ɒ-glucopyrano-
side (38). To a solution of 37³¹ (1.77 g, 4.25 mmol) and 34^{11a} (1.90 g, 4.25 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (6 mL) were added N-iodosuccinimide (1.24 g, 5.51 mmol) and, at 0 [°](#page-20-0)C, (TMS)OTf (230 μ L, 1.2[7 m](#page-19-0)mol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Then the reaction mixture was poured into a saturated solution of $NAHCO₃$ and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 8:2) to give the disaccharide 38 (2.02 g, 2.55 mmol, 60%) as an amorphous solid: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +89.6 (c 0.240, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 1755, 1229 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.03 (9H, s), 1.83 (3H, s), 1.90 (3H, s), 2.00 (3H), 2.02 (3H, s), 3.15 (1H, dd, J = 9.7, 3.6 Hz), 3.33 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 8.7 Hz), 3.46 (3H, s), 3.48−3.55 (2H, m), 3.49 (3H, s), 3.51 $(3H, s)$, 3.60 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 4.4 Hz), 3.67 (1H, m), 3.75 (1H, dd, J = 10.9, 6.9 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, J = 11.1, 1.6 Hz), 4.30 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 2.5 Hz), 4.83 (1H, d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 5.0 (1H, dd, $J = 4.9$, 3.3 Hz), 5.09 $(H, dd, J = 6.5, 2.0 Hz), 5.29 (1H, dd, J = 4.6, 4.6 Hz), 5.40 (1H, d, J)$ $= 3.4$ Hz), 7.35–7.44 (6H, m), 7.67–7.71 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6) MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 19.1 (C), 20.2 (2 × CH₃), 20.5 (CH₃), 20.6 (CH₃), 26.6 (3 \times CH₃), 54.9 (CH₃), 58.8 (CH₃), 60.9 (CH₃), 62.7 (CH₂), 63.7 (CH₂), 68.1 (CH), 70.6 (CH), 71.4 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 75.9 (2 \times CH), 82.1 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 96.9 (CH), 105.6 (CH), 127.7 (4 × CH), 129.6 (2 \times CH), 133.4 (2 \times C), 135.5 (2 \times CH), 135.7 (2 \times CH), 169.2 (C), 169.4 (2 × C), 170.3 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 813 $(M^+ + Na, 100)$; HRMS (ESI^+) m/z calcd for $C_{39}H_{54}NaO_{15}Si$, 813.3130, found 813.3109. Anal. Calcd for C₃₉H₅₄O₁₅Si: C, 59.22; H, 6.88. Found: C, 59.36; H, 6.86.

Methyl 2,3,5,6-Tetra-O-methyl- α -D-mannofuranosyl-(1→4)-6-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (39). To a solution of compound 38 (654 mg, 0.828 mmol) in MeOH (27 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (457 mg, 3.31 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, then neutralized with Dowex (50×8) H⁺ ion-exchange resin for 1 h, filtered, and concentrated. To the crude residue in dry acetone (8.3 mL) were added Ag₂O (1.53 g, 6.62 mmol) and methyl iodide (412 μ L, 6.62 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 24 h. Then the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 70:30) of the residue afforded the title compound 39 (368 mg, 0.543 mmol, 65%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +78.7 (ϵ 0.240, CHCl₃); IR 2931, 1107, 1064 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.03 (9H, s), 2.99 (1H, dd, J = 10.6, 6.1 Hz), 3.02 (3H, s), 3.17 (1H, dd, J = 9.6, 3.7 Hz), 3.27−3.39 (3H, m), 3.33 (3H, s), 3.42−3.58 (3H, m), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 3.47 (3H, s), 3.50 $(3H, s)$, 3.55 $(3H, s)$, 3.66–3.73 $(3H, m)$, 3.89 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 0 Hz)$, 4.84 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz), 5.30 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz), 7.35–7.43 (6H, m), 7.69−7.72 (4H, m);¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 19.2 (C), 26.7 $(3 \times CH_3)$, 54.9 (CH₃), 57.9 (CH₃), 58.5 (CH₃), 58.7 (CH₃), 58.8 (CH_3) , 59.9 (CH₃), 60.8 (CH₃), 64.0 (CH₂), 71.8 (CH), 72.8 (CH₂), 74.8 (CH), 77.2 (CH), 77.9 (CH), 79.8 (CH), 82.3 (CH), 83.4 (CH), 87.0 (CH), 96.9 (CH), 105.9 (CH), 127.6 (4 × CH), 129.5 (2 × CH), 133.6 (2 × C), 135.6 (2 × CH), 135.7 (2 × CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 701 $(M^+ + Na, 100)$; HRMS (ESI^+) m/z calcd for $C_{35}H_{54}NaO_{11}Si$, 701.3333, found 701.3334. Anal. Calcd for C₃₅H₅₄O₁₁Si: C, 61.92; H, 8.02. Found: C, 61.80; H, 7.92.

Methyl 2,3,5,6-Tetra-O-methyl-α-D-mannofuranosyl-(1→4)- 2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (58). To a solution of compound 39 (217 mg, 0.320 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL) was added a 1 M solution of TBAF/THF (0.96 mL, 0.960 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (EtOAc) to give the alcohol 58 (108 mg, 0.245 mmol, 77%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D$ +103.6 (c 0.110, CHCl₃); IR 3684, 3512, 2932, 1102 cm $^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.99 $(1H, br s)$, 3.19 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.7 Hz)$, 3.35 $(3H, s)$, 3.37 $(3H, s)$, 3.38 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 3.48−3.58 (4H, m), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.55 (3H, s), 3.62−3.65 (3H, m), 3.70 (1H, dd, J = 3.7 Hz), 3.81 $(1H, dd, J = 12.4, 2.9 Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, J = 3.4, 3.4 Hz), 4.10 (1H, dd,$ $J = 9.0, 3.2 \text{ Hz}$, 4.77 (1H, d, $J = 3.4 \text{ Hz}$), 5.41 (1H, d, $J = 4.0 \text{ Hz}$); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 54.9 (CH₃), 57.0 (CH₃), 58.6 (2 \times CH₃), 59.2 (CH₃), 60.1 (CH₃), 60.7 (CH₂), 60.7 (CH₃), 69.7 (CH₂), 70.4 (CH), 74.5 (CH), 76.9 (CH), 77.8 (CH), 79.7 (CH), 82.1 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 87.2 (CH), 97.4 (CH), 107.0 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 463 $(M^+ + Na, 100)$; HRMS (ESI^+) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{36}NaO_{11}$, 463.2155, found 463.2153. Anal. Calcd for C19H36O11: C, 51.81; H, 8.24. Found: C, 52.02; H, 8.07.

Methyl 2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl-α-D-lyxopyranosyl-(1→4)-2,3-di-Omethyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (41). Compound 41 was prepared from 40^{32} (664 mg, 1.584 mmol) and 34^{11a} (331 mg, 0.720 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chromat[og](#page-20-0)raphy (hexanes−EtOAc, 1:1) t[o g](#page-19-0)ive the alcohol 41 (554 mg, 1.154 mmol, 73%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +160.0 (c 0.03, CHCl₃); IR 3530, 2933, 1753, 1123 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.01 (3H, s), 2.03 (6H, s), 2.37 (1H, br s), 3.15 (1H, dd, $J = 9.1, 3.3$ Hz), 3.37 (3H, s), 3.45 (3H, s), 3.49−3.57 (4H, m), 3.55 (3H, s), 3.69−3.83 (2H, m), 3.88 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 4.1 Hz), 4.77 (1H, d, J = 3.4 Hz), 5.00 (1H, m), 5.11 (2H, br s), 5.27 (1H, d, $J = 5.3$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 20.5 (CH₃), 20.6 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 55.1 (CH₃), 58.8 (CH₃), 61.0 (CH₃), 61.7 (2 \times CH₂), 67.3 (CH), 68.2 (CH), 69.2 (CH), 69.9 (CH), 75.6 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 83.0 (CH), 97.3 (CH), 99.0 (CH), 169.5 (C), 169.6 (C), 169.8 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 503 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}NaO_{13}$, 503.1741, found 503.1741. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}O_{13}$: C, 50.00; H, 6.71. Found: C, 50.13; H, 7.01.

General Procedure for the Oxidative HAT Reactions. A 0.025 M solution of the alcohol (1 equiv) in dry CH_2Cl_2 containing DIB (1.1−2.5 equiv) and I_2 (0.5−1.2 equiv) under nitrogen was irradiated with two 80 W tungsten-filament lamps at room temperature for the specified time. The reaction mixture was then poured into 10% aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$, extracted with $CH₂Cl₂$, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc mixtures).

Oxidative HAT of 42. Method A at Room Temperature. The reaction proceeded from 42 (46 mg, 0.131 mmol) containing DIB (72 mg, 0.223 mmol) and I_2 (33 mg, 0.130 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 2 h. Chromatotron chromatography of the reaction residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 6:4) gave 43 as an inseparable mixture of isomers (27 mg, 0.071 mmol, dr 6:1, 54%): colorless oil; IR 2936, 2828, 1757, 1749, 1456, 1117, 1055 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) (major isomer) δ_H 2.09 (3H, s), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.39 (6H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.45 (3H, s), 3.51 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 4.7 Hz), 3.56 (1H, dd, $J = 10.7, 3.5 Hz$), 3.64 (1H, dd, $J = 6.9, 3.2 Hz$), 3.70 (1H, dd, $J =$ 2.8, 2.8 Hz), 3.78 (1H, d, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 4.06 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.9$, 4.7, 5.5 Hz), 4.13 (1H, dd, J = 2.8, 2.8 Hz), 5.04 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz), 5.09 (1H, s), 6.20 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) (major isomer) δ_C 21.1 (CH₃), 56.4 (CH₃), 57.6 (CH₃), 58.0 (CH₃), 58.1 (CH_3) , 59.3 (CH₃), 71.8 (CH₂), 80.9 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 85.1 (CH), 87.6 (CH), 89.9 (CH), 100.0 (CH), 105.6 (CH), 108.5 (CH), 169.6 (C) ; MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 403 $(M⁺ + Na, 100)$; HRMS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z calcd for $\rm C_{16}H_{28}NaO_{10}$ 403.1580, found 403.1584. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{28}O_{10}$: C, 50.52; H, 7.42. Found C, 50.46; H, 7.34.

Oxidative HAT of 44. Method A at Room Temperature. The reaction proceeded from alcohol 44 (44 mg, 0.125 mmol) containing DIB (68 mg, 0.212 mmol) and I_2 (32 mg, 0.125 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 1.5 h. After this time another portion of DIB (20 mg, 0.062 mmol) was added, and irradiation was continued for an additional 0.5 h. Chromatotron chromatography of the residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 50:50) gave in order of elution methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-methyl- α -D-arabinofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-O-methyl- β -D-ribopentodialdo-1,4-furanose (46) (3.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, 8%) and methyl (4R)-2,3,5-tri-O-methyl- α -D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→3)-4-O-acetyl-2-Omethyl-β-D-erythro-tetrodialdo-1,4-furanose (45) (30.3 mg, 0.08 mmol, 64%), both as colorless oils. Data for compound 46: $[\alpha]_D$ +79.1 (c 0.230, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 2828, 1736, 1454, 1107, 1050 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.39 (6H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.52 (1H, dd, $J = 10.9$, 5.0 Hz), 3.58 (1H, dd, $J = 10.9$, 3.4 Hz), 3.63 (1H, dd, $J = 7.2$, 3.2 Hz), 3.71 (1H, d, $J = 4.8$ Hz), 3.81 $(1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz)$, 4.07 $(1H, ddd, J = 8.2, 5.0, 3.4 Hz)$, 4.38 $(1H, dd,$ $J = 6.6, 1.9$ Hz), 4.58 (1H, dd, $J = 6.6, 4.8$ Hz), 4.99 (1H, s), 5.05 (1H, s), 9.62 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.5 (CH_3) , 57.5 (CH₃), 58.0 (CH₃), 58.6 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 71.9 (CH₂), 74.9 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 82.0 (CH), 85.1 (CH), 85.3 (CH), 89.9 (CH), 105.1 (CH), 106.5 (CH), 199.4 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 373 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₅H₂₆NaO₉ 373.1475, found 373.1478. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₆O₉: C, 51.42; H, 7.48. Found C, 51.44; H, 7.43. Data for compound 45: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +81.5 (c 0.390, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 2832, 1747, 1456, 1232, 1113, 1055 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 2.08 (3H, s), 3.398 (3H, s), 3.403 (3H, s), 3.41 (6H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 3.53 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 5.4 Hz), 3.59 $(1H, dd, J = 11.0, 3.2 Hz), 3.62 (1H, dd, J = 7.3, 3.2 Hz), 4.09 (1H,$ ddd, $J = 7.3$, 5.4, 3.2 Hz), 4.37 (1H, dd, $J = 4.7$, 2.2 Hz), 5.04 (1H, d, J $= 3.2$ Hz), 5.12 (1H, s), 6.16 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6) MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 21.1 (CH₃), 56.1 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 58.0 (CH₃), 58.5 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 72.1 (CH₂), 77.7 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 82.9 (CH), 85.4 (CH), 90.0 (CH), 99.9 (CH), 105.8 (CH), 107.8 (CH), 169.7 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 403 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{28}NaO_{10}$ 403.1580, found 403.1576. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{28}O_{10}$: C, 50.52; H, 7.42. Found C, 50.35; H, 7.38.

Method B at 0 \degree C. The reaction proceeded from alcohol 44 (44 mg, 0.125 mmol) containing DIB (68 mg, 0.212 mmol) and I_2 (32 mg, 0.125 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 2 h. After this time another three portions of DIB (40 mg, 0.125 mmol) were added every 2 h, and irradiation was continued for 7.5 h in total. Chromatotron chromatography of the residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 50:50) gave in order of elution aldehyde 46 (1 mg, 0.003 mmol, 2%) and acetate 45 (24.2 mg, 0.06 mmol, 51%).

Oxidative HAT of 47. The reaction proceeded from 47 (40 mg, 0.114 mmol) containing DIB (40.4 mg, 0.125 mmol), I_2 (14.5 mg, 0.057 mmol), and powdered 3 Å molecular sieves following the general procedure by irradiation for 7 h at 40 °C. After this time another portion of DIB (7.5 mg, 0.023 mmol) was added, and irradiation was continue for a further 8 h. The residue (56 mg) was subjected to purification by rapid alumina (Merck 90 active neutral) column chromatography (hexanes–EtOAc, 6:3 \rightarrow 0:1) to give 48 (8.7) mg, 0.025 mmol, 22%) and 49 as an inseparable mixture of isomers (6.3 mg, 0.016 mmol, β/α 9:1, 14%). Data for compound 48: colorless oil, $[\alpha]_D$ +61 (c 0.39, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 2828, 1456, 1146, 1111, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 3.36 (3H, s), 3.40 (1H, d, J = 10.1 Hz), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.43 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.45 (1H, d, J = 10.2 Hz), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.71 (1H, dd, $J = 5.0$, 1.9 Hz), 3.80 (1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, J = 12.9, 1.9 Hz), 3.92 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 4.19 $(1H, ddd, J = 7.3, 5.4, 1.9 Hz), 4.34 (1H, dd, J = 5.4, 5.4 Hz), 4.36$ $(1H, dd, J = 13.2, 7.3 Hz)$, 4.86 $(1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz)$, 5.15 $(1H, s)$; ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 55.2 (CH₃), 57.4 (CH₃), 58.78 (CH₃), 58.84 (CH₃), 59.7 (CH₃), 64.2 (CH₂), 74.1 (CH), 75.8 (CH₂), 79.9 (CH), 84.0 (CH), 86.8 (CH), 89.7 (CH), 103.3 (CH), 104.7 (CH), 108.1 (C), MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 373 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{26}NaO_9$ 373.1475, found 373.1474. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₆O₉: C, 51.42; H, 7.48. Found C, 51.30; H, 7.38. Data for compound 49 (contaminated with 10% α -epimer): colorless oil, IR 2936, 2832, 1747, 1234, 1115, 1057, 1013 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) (β -isomer) δ_H 2.07 (3H, s), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.40 $(3H, s)$, 3.41 $(6H, s)$, 3.45 $(3H, s)$, 3.53 $(1H, dd, J = 10.7, 5.0 Hz)$, 3.59 (1H, dd, $J = 10.7$, 3.2 Hz), 3.62 (1H, dd, $J = 7.3$, 3.2 Hz), 3.81 $(1H, dd, J = 3.2, 1.0 Hz)$, 3.85 $(1H, dd, J = 4.7, 2.8 Hz)$, 4.09 $(1H, dd, J)$ $J = 6.9, 5.0, 3.2$ Hz), 4.37 (1H, dd, $J = 4.7, 2.2$ Hz), 5.03 (1H, d, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 5.11 (1H, br s), 6.15 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) (β -isomer) δ_C 21.1 (CH₃), 56.1 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 58.0 (CH_3) , 58.5 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH₃), 72.0 (CH₂), 77.7 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 82.9 (CH), 85.3 (CH), 90.0 (CH), 99.9 (CH), 105.8 (CH), 107.8 (CH), 169.7 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 403 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{28}NaO_{10}$ 403.1580, found 403.1575. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₈O₁₀: C, 50.52; H, 7.42. Found C, 50.86; H, 7.34.

Oxidative HAT of 50. Method A at Room Temperature. The reaction proceeded from 5 (42 mg, 0.093 mmol) containing DIB (51 mg, 0.159 mmol) and I_2 (24 mg, 0.093 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 0.75 h. Column chromatography of the residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 70:30) gave in order of elution methyl (4R)-2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→3)-4-O-acetyl-2-Omethyl-β-D-erythro-tetrodialdo-1,4-furanose (52β) (7.5 mg, 0.016 mmol, 17%), methyl (4S)-2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -4-O-acetyl-2-O-methyl- β -D-erythro-tetrodialdo-1,4-furanose (52α) (9 mg, 0.019 mmol, 20%), and methyl 5',5-anhydro- $(2',3',4')$ tri-O-acetyl-6′-deoxy-α-L-lyxo-hexos-5′-ulopyranosyl)-(1→3)-2-Omethyl-β-D-xylofuranoside (51) (9 mg, 0.020 mmol, 22%) as colorless oils. Data for compound 52β : $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ –42.0 (c 0.560, CHCl₃); IR 2936, 2851, 1747, 1373, 1226, 1054 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.18 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.98 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.16 $(3H, s)$, 3.43 $(3H, s)$, 3.44 $(3H, s)$, 3.84 $(1H, dddd, J = 9.8, 6.4, 6.4,$ 6.4 Hz), 3.93 (1H, dd, J = 7.2, 3.4 Hz), 4.15 (1H, dd, J = 7.2, 4.5 Hz), 4.87 (1H, d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 4.94 (1H, d, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 5.06 (1H, dd, $J =$ 10.1, 10.1 Hz), 5.21 (1H, dd, $J = 10.3$, 3.4 Hz), 5.29 (1H, dd, $J = 3.4$, 1.9 Hz), 6.24 (1H, d, J = 4.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 17.3 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), 56.0 $(CH₃), 58.5$ (CH₃), 67.3 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 69.7 (CH), 70.7 (CH), 80.1 (CH), 86.7 (CH), 94.6 (CH), 97.8 (CH), 108.0 (CH), 170.0 (2 \times C), 170.1 (C), 170.2 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 501 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}NaO_{13}$ 501.1584, found 501.1587. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_{13}$: C, 50.21; H, 6.32. Found C, 50.23; H, 6.36. Data for compound 52 α : $[\alpha]_{D}$ –93.6 (c 0.405, CHCl₃); IR 2936, 2847, 1749, 1371, 1226, 1052 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.20 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.98 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.10 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.47 (3H, s), 3.70 (1H, dd, J = 2.8, 2.8 Hz), 3.93 (1H, dddd, J = 9.8, 6.3, 6.3, 6.3 Hz), 4.12 (1H, dd, J $= 2.8, 2.8$ Hz), 4.87 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 5.071 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.8 Hz), 5.074 (1H, d, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 5.24 (1H, dd, $J = 3.5$, 1.9 Hz), 5.27 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 3.5 Hz), 6.21 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 17.2 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 21.1 (CH₃), 56.3 (CH₃), 58.2 (CH₃), 67.2 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 69.8 (CH), 70.9 (CH), 84.1 (CH), 87.4 (CH), 97.2 (CH), 99.7 (CH), 108.8 (CH), 169.6 (C), 169.8 (C), 170.0 (C), 170.1 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 501 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for

 $C_{20}H_{30}NaO_{13}$ 501.1584, found 501.1585. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_{13}$: C, 50.21; H, 6.32. Found C, 50.44; H, 6.21. Data for compound 51: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ –18.6 (c 0.333, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 2847, 1751, 1373, 1226, 1057 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.40 (3H, s), 1.97 (3H, s), 2.10 $(3H, s)$, 2.15 $(3H, s)$, 3.41 $(3H, s)$, 3.42 $(3H, s)$, 3.91 $(1H, dd, J = 4.4,$ 2.2 Hz), 4.02 (1H, dd, $J = 13.2$, 4.7 Hz), 4.09 (1H, dd, $J = 13.3$, 7.6 Hz), 4.10 (1H, dd, J = 5.0, 4.4 Hz), 4.45 (1H, ddd, J = 7.6, 5.0, 5.0 Hz), 4.83 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz), 4.90 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz), 5.34 (1H, d, J $= 10.7$ Hz), 5.43 (1H, dd, J = 3.2, 1.3 Hz), 5.71 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 3.5) Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 20.6 (CH₃), 20.79 (CH₃), 20.82 (CH₃), 21.1 (CH₃), 55.0 (CH₃), 58.1 (CH₃), 62.6 (CH₂), 66.0 (CH), 70.1 (CH), 71.2 (CH), 77.3 (CH), 81.6 (CH), 89.3 (CH), 97.9 (CH), 101.4 (C), 107.0 (CH), 169.5 (C), 169.9 (C), 170.4 (C); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 471 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{28}NaO_{12}$ 471.1478, found 471.1483. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{28}O_{12}$: C, 50.89; H, 6.29. Found C, 50.66; H, 6.22.

Method B at 0 °C. The reaction proceeded from 5 (40 mg, 0.089 mmol) containing DIB (49 mg, 0.151 mmol) and I_2 (23 mg, 0.089 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation at 0 °C for 3 h. After this time another portion of DIB (49 mg, 0.151 mmol) was added, and irradiation was continued for an additional 1 h. Chromatotron chromatography of the residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 75:25) gave in order of elution 52β (4.6 mg, 0.010 mmol, 11%), 52 α (5.6 mg, 0.012 mmol, 13%), and 51 (11.3 mg, 0.025 mmol, 28%), all identical as previously described.

Oxidative HAT of 53. The reaction proceeded from 53 (30 mg, 0.076 mmol) containing DIB (49 mg, 0.193 mmol) and I_2 (19.3 mg, 0.076 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 5 h. Chromatotron chromatography of the residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 30:70) gave methyl 4′,6-anhydro-(2′,3′,5′-tri-O-acetyl-α-D-threo-pentos-4'-ulofuranosyl)-(1→4)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (54) (16.6 mg, 0.042 mmol, 55%) as a colorless oil: $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{\text{D}}$ +71.2 (c 0.170, CHCl₃); IR 2936, 2828, 1452, 1106, 1052 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.14 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.7 Hz), 3.34 (3H, s), 3.41 $(3H, s)$, 3.42 $(3H, s)$, 3.45 $(1H, d, J = 10.9 \text{ Hz})$, 3.49 $(3H, s)$, 3.500 $(3H, s)$, 3.503 (1H, m), 3.51 (1H, d, J = 10.9 Hz), 3.59 (3H, s), 3.64 $(1H, m)$, 3.67 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 9.5 Hz), 3.75 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 8.2) Hz), 3.79 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 2.9 Hz), 3.85 (1H, d, J = 2.9 Hz), 3.93 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 9.8 Hz), 4.73 (1H, d, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 5.22 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 54.9 (CH₃), 57.6 (CH₃), 59.0 (CH₃), 59.1 $(CH₃)$, 59.6 (CH₃), 61.2 (CH₃), 65.1 (CH₂), 66.5 (CH), 71.9 (CH), 77.3 (CH), 81.0 (CH), 81.4 (CH), 87.7 (CH), 90.5 (CH), 97.5 (CH), 104.2 (CH), 106.0 (C); MS (70 eV, EI) m/z (rel intens) 394 (M⁺, , <1), 363 (10), 277 (7), 145 (15), 101 (100); HRMS (EI) m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{30}O_{10}$ 394.1839, found 394.1836. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{30}O_{10}$: C, 51.77; H, 7.67. Found C, 51.62; H, 7.58.

Oxidative HAT of 55. The reaction proceeded from 55 (54 mg, 0.112 mmol) containing DIB (62 mg, 0.191 mmol) and I_2 (29 mg, 0.112 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 2 h. After this time another portion of DIB (18 mg, 0.056 mmol) was added, and irradiation was continued for an additional 1.5 h. Column chromatography of the reaction residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 50:50) gave methyl 4′,6-anhydro-(2′,3′,5′-tri-O-acetyl-α-D-threo-pentos-4′-ulofuranosyl)-(1→4)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (56) (5.1 mg, 0.011 mmol, 9%), methyl $(1/R)$ -4,6-O- $(2', 3', 5'$ -tri-O-acetyl-α-D-threopentos-4′-ulosylidene)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (57) (10.7 mg, 0.022 mmol, 20%), and methyl 2,3-di-O-methyl-α-Dglucopyranoside⁴¹ (3 mg, 0.014 mmol, 12%). Data for compound **56**: unstable oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.10 (3H, s), 2.12 $(3H, s)$ $(3H, s)$ $(3H, s)$, 2.16 $(3H, s)$, 3.14 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.5 Hz)$, 3.40 $(3H, s)$, 3.51 (3H, s), 3.52 (1H, dd, J = 9.1, 9.1 Hz), 3.57−3.62 (2H, m), 3.61 (3H, s), 3.76 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 3.8 Hz), 3.95 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 9.8 Hz), 4.12 (1H, d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 4.36 (1H, d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 4.75 (1H, d, $J =$ 3.8 Hz), 5.11 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 5.20 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 5.22 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 20.68 (CH₃), 20.70 (CH₃), 20.74 (CH₃), 55.3 (CH₃), 59.2 (CH₃), 61.4 (CH₃), 63.2 (CH₂), 65.4 (CH2), 66.4 (CH), 78.3 (CH), 78.8 (CH), 80.8 (CH), 81.5 (CH), 81.7 (CH), 97.7 (CH), 98.7 (C), 104.9 (CH), 169.7 (C), 170.0 (C), 170.6 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 501 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}NaO_{13}$ 501.1584, found 501.1590. Data

for compound 57: crystalline solid; mp 159.4−160.9 °C (from nhexane−EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ +80.0 (c 0.100, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 2832, 1751, 1338, 1219, 1054 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 2.09 (3H, s), 2.16 (3H, s), 2.17 (3H, s), 3.20 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.8 Hz), 3.28 (1H, dd, $J = 9.5, 9.5$ Hz), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.47 (1H, dd, $J = 10.4, 10.4$ Hz), 3.52 $(3H, s)$, 3.54 $(3H, s)$, 3.58 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 9.5 Hz)$, 3.66 $(1H, dd, J = 1.5, 1.5)$ 10.1, 10.1, 5.0 Hz), 4.15 (1H, dd, J = 10.4, 5.1 Hz), 4.71 (1H, d, J = 5.7 Hz), 4.80 (1H, d, J = 3.8 Hz), 4.84 (1H, d, J = 17.3 Hz), 4.88 (1H, d, J = 17.3 Hz), 5.47 (1H, dd, J = 5.7, 3.8 Hz), 5.50 (1H, dd, J = 3.8 Hz); 13 C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 20.36 (CH₃), 20.39 (CH₃), 20.5 (CH_3) , 55.4 (CH_3) , 59.4 (CH_3) , 60.7 (CH_3) , 61.8 (CH) , 66.7 (CH_2) , 68.8 (CH2), 70.2 (CH), 73.9 (CH), 79.5 (CH), 81.2 (CH), 81.8 (CH), 98.1 (CH), 98.5 (CH), 169.5 (C), 169.78 (C), 169.80 (C), 197.5 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 501 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}NaO_{13}$ 501.1584, found 501.1583. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_{13}$: C, 50.21; H, 6.32. Found C, 50.02; H, 6.21.

Oxidative HAT of 58. The reaction proceeded from 58 (108 mg, 0.245 mmol) containing DIB (118 mg, 0.366 mmol) and I_2 (74 mg, 0.291 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 3 h. Column chromatography of the reaction residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 30:70) gave methyl 4',6-anhydro- $(2',3',5',6'$ -tetra-O-methyl- α -D-lyxopentos-4′-ulofuranosyl)-(1→4)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (59) (57.3 mg, 0.131 mmol, 53%) and methyl 2,3,5,6-tetra-O-methylα-D-mannofuranosyl-(1→4)-2-O-methyl-3,6-O-methylidene-α-D-glucopyranoside (60) (13 mg, 0.030 mmol, 12%), both as colorless oils. Data for compound 59: $[\alpha]_D$ +91.4 (c 0.140, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 1106 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 3.10 (1H, dd, J = 9.4, 3.6 Hz), 3.15−3.56 (5H, m), 3.33 (3H, s), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.42 (6H, s), 3.47 (3H, s), 3.54 (3H, s), 3.55 (3H, s), 3.69 (1H, dd, $J = 12.2$, 3.4 Hz), 3.72 $(1H, dd, J = 12.0, 1.8 Hz), 3.82 (1H, d, J = 5.3 Hz), 4.04 (1H, d, J = 12.0 Hz)$ 5.3 Hz), 4.12 (1H, dd, J = 12.4, 10.1 Hz), 4.71 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz), 5.19 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 55.0 (CH₃), 58.6 (CH_3) , 58.9 (2 × CH₃), 59.6 (CH₃), 60.7 (CH₃), 61.1 (CH₃), 65.5 $(CH₂)$, 67.4 (CH), 73.6 (CH₂), 78.9 (CH), 81.0 (CH), 81.5 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 82.8 (CH), 90.5 (CH), 97.5 (CH), 103.7 (CH), 107.7 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 461 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{34}NaO_{11}$, 461.1999, found 461.1998. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{34}O_{11}$: C, 52.05; H, 7.82. Found: C, 52.29; H, 7.73. Data for compound 60: $\left[a\right]_{\text{D}}$ +61.4 (c 0.070, CHCl₃); IR 2932, 1044 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.29 (1H, dd, J = 9.7, 3.6 Hz), 3.36 (1H, dd, J = 10.3, 2.6 Hz), 3.40−3.63 (3H, m), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.49 (6H, s), 3.54 (3H, s), 3.58 (3H, s), 3.79 (1H, dd, J = 4.8, 2.9 Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, $J = 10.7$, 1.2 Hz), 3.93 (1H, dd, $J = 4.8$, 2.1 Hz), 3.96 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 10.1 Hz), 4.05 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 1.7 Hz), 4.10−4.15 $(2H, m)$, 4.66 (1H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.81 (1H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.87 (1H, d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 5.46 (1H, d, $J = 2.9$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.3 (CH₃), 56.6 (CH₃), 58.5 (CH₃), 58.7 (CH₃), 60.3 (CH_3) , 60.9 (CH₃), 64.1 (CH₂), 65.0 (CH₂), 69.3 (CH), 71.7 (CH), 76.6 (2 × CH), 80.3 (CH), 82.1 (CH), 83.5 (CH), 89.5 (CH), 94.8 $(CH₂)$, 97.7 (CH), 105.6 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 461 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{34}NaO_{11}$, 461.1999, found 461.1999. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{34}O_{11}$: C, 52.05; H, 7.82. Found: C, 52.01; H, 7.84.

Oxidative HAT of 41. The reaction proceeded from 41 (50.6 mg, 0.105 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (2.1 mL) containing DIB (68 mg, 0.211 mmol) and I_2 (32 mg, 0.126 mmol) following the general procedure by irradiation for 3 h. Column chromatography of the residue (hexanes−EtOAc, 6:4) gave the orthoacetate 61 (26 mg, 0.054 mmol, 51%) and methyl 4,6-O-(2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-α-D-lyxopyranosylidene)- 2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (62) (13 mg, 0.027 mmol, β/α 3.6:1, 26%), both as colorless oils. Data for compound 61: unstable for complete characterization; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.54 (3H, s), 2.07 (3H, s), 2.11 (3H, s), 3.16 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 3.4 Hz), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.56 (3H, s), 3.60 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 9.5 Hz), 3.67 (1H, dd, $J = 8.7, 2.9$ Hz), 3.76 (1H, dd, $J = 9.7, 2.8$ Hz), 3.82 (1H, dd, $J =$ 8.6, 4.6 Hz), 3.83 (1H, ddd, J = 9.3, 9.3, 4.0 Hz), 4.46 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, 6.0 Hz), 4.75 (1H, d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 5.30 (1H, dd, $J = 3.0$, 3.0 Hz), 5.38 $(1H, d, J = 2.9 Hz)$, 5.80 $(1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz)$, 5.82 $(1H, dd, J = 5.8, 3.2)$ Hz);¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 20.2 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 55.2 (CH₃), 58.9 (CH₃), 60.9 (CH₃), 66.1 (CH₂) 66.2 (CH),

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article Article**

68.3 (CH), 70.0 (CH), 76.0 (CH), 76.8 (CH), 81.9 (CH), 83.0 (CH), 95.4 (CH), 97.3 (CH), 97.4 (CH), 122.3 (C), 169.6 (C), 169.7 (C). Data for compound 62 (mixture of isomers): IR 2932, 1757, 1222 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 2.01, (3H, s), 2.02 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.12 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.15 (1H, dd, J = 9.4, 3.6 Hz), 3.22 (1H, dd, $J = 9.6$, 4.5 Hz), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.45−4.13 (14H, m), 3.51 (6H, s), 3.52 (3H, s), 3.53 (3H, s), 4.76 $(1H, d, J = 3.5 Hz)$, 4.80 $(1H, d, J = 3.6 Hz)$, 5.04 $(1H, ddd, J = 10.2,$ 10.2, 6.0 Hz), 5.14 (2H, d, J = 9.7 Hz), 5.23 (1H, m), 5.33−5.36 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 20.63 (4 × CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃), 55.37 (CH₃), 55.45 (CH₃), 59.4 (CH₃), 59.5 (CH₃), 61.0 (CH_3) , 61.2 (2 × CH₂), 61.5 (2 × CH₂), 61.6 (CH₃), 66.6 (2 × CH), 69.0 (CH), 69.1 (CH), 69.4 (2 × CH), 70.5 (CH), 70.7 (CH), 74.4 (CH), 74.5 (CH), 79.4 (CH), 79.6 (CH), 81.1 (CH), 81.2 (CH), 98.7 $(2 \times CH)$, 108.5 (C), 109.2 (C), 169.3 (2 × C), 169.8 (2 × C), 169.9 $(2 \times C)$; MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 501 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}NaO_{13}$, 501.1584, found 501.1590. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_{13}$: C, 50.21; H, 6.32. Found: C, 50.18; H, 6.37.

Methyl $(5'5)-2',3',5'-Tri-O-acceptl-\alpha-D-lyxo-pentos-5'-ulopyr$ anosyl-(1→4)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (63). A solution of compound 61 (10 mg, 0.021 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) was treated with a catalytic amount of HCl and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction mixture was poured into aqueous saturated NaHCO₃ and extracted with CHCl₃. The organic layer was evaporated to give compound 63 (10.3 mg, 0.021 mmol, quant) as a colorless oil: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}$ +94.9 (c 0.920, CHCl₃); IR 3477, 2931, 1751, 1223 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 2.02 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.13 $(3H, s)$, 2.63 $(2H, br s)$, 3.20 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.4 Hz)$, 3.42 $(3H, s)$, 3.50 (1H, m), 3.51 (3H, s), 3.55−3.63 (2H, m), 3.58 (3H, s), 3.71 $(1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.8 Hz), 3.83 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 4.81 (1H, d, J = 3.4$ Hz), 5.06 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 5.14 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 7.7 Hz), 5.27− 5.32 (3H, m);¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 20.6 (CH₃), 20.76 (CH_3) , 20.79 (CH₃), 55.3 (CH₃), 58.9 (CH₃), 61.2 (CH₃), 61.6 (CH₂), 67.8 (CH), 69.3 (CH), 69.9 (CH), 71.0 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 91.9 (CH), 97.5 (CH), 98.6 (CH), 169.7 (2 × C), 170.5 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 519 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}NaO_{14}$, 519.1690, found 519.1689. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₂O₁₄: C, 48.39; H, 6.50. Found: C, 48.54; H, 6.83.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of N-Hydroxyphthalimides. DEAD (2.5 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred 0.09 M solution of the alcohol (1 equiv) in dry THF containing Nhydroxyphthalimide (2.5 equiv) and $PPh₃$ (2.5 equiv) under nitrogen at 0 °C, and the stirring continued at this temperature for the time specified. Then the solvent was removed, and the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined extracts were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and evaporated. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography.

Methyl 2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→3)-2-Omethyl-5-O-phthalimido- β -D-xylofuranoside (64). The reaction prceeded from alcohol 50 (260 mg, 0.578 mmol) following the general procedure by stirring at 0 °C for 1.5 h. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 70:30) to give compound 64 (269 mg, 0.452 mmol, 78%) as a white foam: $[\alpha]_{D}$ −100.0 (c 0.415, CHCl3); IR 2940, 2832, 1790, 1744, 1731, 1371, 1226, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 1.24 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.97 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 1.6, 1.6 Hz), 3.96 (1H, dddd, J = 9.8, 6.4, 6.4, 6.4 Hz), 4.42 (1H, dd, $J = 11.1$, 7.2 Hz), 4.45 (1H, d, $J = 6.4$, 2.1 Hz), 4.54 $(1H, dd, J = 11.1, 5.0 Hz)$, 4.68 $(1H, dd, J = 6.6, 6.6, 5.0 Hz)$, 4.84 $(1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz)$, 4.92 $(1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz)$, 5.07 $(1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.8$ Hz), 5.21 (1H, dd, $J = 9.8$, 3.4 Hz), 5.24 (1H, dd, $J = 3.4$, 1.9 Hz), 7.76 (2H, m), 7.85 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 17.4 (CH_3) , 20.6 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 55.4 (CH₃), 57.7 (CH₃), 67.3 (CH), 68.9 (CH), 69.8 (CH), 70.8 (CH), 76.7 (CH₂), 77.75 (CH), 77.80 (CH), 87.8 (CH), 95.8 (CH), 107.5 (CH), 123.5 (2 × CH), 129.0 $(2 \times C)$, 134.5 $(2 \times CH)$, 163.4 $(2 \times C)$, 169.7 (C), 170.0 (C), 170.1 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 618 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{33}NNaO_{14}$ 618.1799, found

618.1793. Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{33}NO_{14}$: C, 54.45; H, 5.59; N, 2.35. Found: C, 54.25; H, 5.65; N, 2.17.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-methyl-α-D-arabinofuranosyl-(1→4)-2,3 di-O-methyl-6-O-phthalimido- α -D-glucopyranoside (70). The reaction proceeded from alcohol 53 (160 mg, 0.404 mmol) following the general procedure by stirring at 0 °C for 3 h. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexanes–Et₂O, 30:70) to give N-phthalimide 70 (196 mg, 0.362 mmol, 90%) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +108.4 (c 0.694, CHCl₃); IR 2933, 2829, 1791, 1733, 1374, 1107, 1039 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 3.239 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.4 Hz), 3.244 (3H, s), 3.31 (3H, s), 3.408 (3H, s), 3.411 (1H, dd, $J =$ 10.9, 5.6 Hz), 3.45 (1H, dd, $J = 10.6$, 4.5 Hz), 3.49 (3H, s), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.51 (1H, dd, $J = 5.8$, 2.1 Hz), 3.58 (3H, s), 3.59 (1H, dd, $J = 9.5$, 9.5 Hz), 3.61 (1H, dd, $J = 10.3$, 8.8 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, $J = 2.4$, 0.8 Hz), 3.98 (1H, m), 4.08 (1H, ddd, J = 5.8, 5.8, 4.5 Hz), 4.38 (1H, dd, J = 11.4, 6.9 Hz), 4.53 (1H, dd, J = 11.4, 1.6 Hz), 4.82 (1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz), 5.36 (1H, s), 7.72 (2H, m), 7.82 (2H, m); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 55.6 (CH₃), 57.5 (CH₃), 57.8 (CH₃), 58.7 (CH₃), 59.1 $(CH₃), 60.8$ (CH₃), 69.2 (CH), 72.6 (CH₂), 74.9 (CH), 77.9 (CH₂), 81.1 (CH), 82.0 (CH), 82.9 (CH), 85.8 (CH), 89.7 (CH), 97.3 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 123.4 (2 × CH), 129.1 (2 × C), 134.2 (2 × CH), 163.2 $(2 \times C)$; MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 564 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{25}H_{35}NNaO_{12}$ 564.2057, found 564.2053. Anal. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{35}NO_{12}$: C, 55.45; H, 6.51; N, 2.59. Found C, 55.20; H, 6.48; N, 2.87.

Methyl 2,3,5-Tri-O-acetyl- α -D-arabinofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3di-O-methyl-6-O-phthalimido- α -D-glucopyranoside (74). The reaction proceeded from alcohol 55 (61.6 mg, 0.128 mmol) following the general procedure by stirring at 0 °C for 1 h. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 50:50) to give N-phthalimide 74 (60.7 mg, 0.097 mmol, 76%) as a white amorphous solid: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}$ +64.0 (c 0.100, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 2847, 1792, 1732, 1369, 1224, 1042 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 2.05 $(3H, s)$, 2.08 $(3H, s)$, 2.10 $(3H, s)$, 3.25 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.4 Hz)$, 3.47 $(3H, s)$, 3.51 $(3H, s)$, 3.57 $(3H, s)$, 3.62 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 8.7 Hz)$, 3.78 $(1H, dd, J = 10.1, 8.7 Hz), 3.93 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 5.6, 1.6 Hz), 4.17$ (1H, m), 4.31−4.36 (2H, m), 4.40 (1H, dd, J = 11.1, 5.6 Hz), 4.46 $(H, dd, J = 11.1, 1.9 Hz)$, 4.80 $(H, d, J = 3.4 Hz)$, 5.00 $(H, dd, J = 11.1, 1.9 Hz)$ 4.8, 1.9, 0.8 Hz), 5.17 (1H, dd, J = 1.9, 0.8 Hz), 5.52 (1H, s), 7.75 (2H, m), 7.83 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 20.6 (3 \times CH₃), 55.6 (CH₃), 58.9 (CH₃), 60.8 (CH₃), 63.3 (CH₂), 68.9 (CH), 73.9 (CH) , 77.0 (CH), 77.1 (CH₂), 80.96, (CH), 80.99 (CH), 81.7 (CH), 83.0 (CH), 97.4 (CH), 106.6 (CH), 123.4 (2 × CH), 128.9 (2 × C), 134.4 (2 × CH), 163.1 (2 × C), 169.3 (C), 170.1 (C), 170.5 (C); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 648 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{28}H_{35}NaNO_{15}$ 648.1904, found 648.1896. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₅NO₁₅: C, 53.76; H, 5.64; N, 2.24. Found C, 53.59; H, 5.47; N, 2.58.

Methyl 2,3,5,6-Tetra-O-methyl-α-D-mannofuranosyl-(1→4)- 2,3-di-O-methyl-6-O-phthalimido- α -D-glucopyranoside (78). The reaction proceeded from alcohol 58 (227 mg, 0.516 mmol) following the general procedure by stirring at 0° C for 1 h. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography $(Et₂O)$ to give Nphthalimide 78 (254 mg, 0.434 mmol, 84%) as a white crystalline solid: mp 117.2−117.9 °C (from *n*-hexane–EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ +127.1 (c 0.070, CHCl₃); IR 1932, 1790, 1738, 1102 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.16 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 3.4 Hz), 3.21 (3H, s), 3.33 (3H, s), 3.35 (1H, m), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.45 (3H, s), 3.47−3.58 (4H, m), 3.51 (3H, s), 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 4.1, 4.1 Hz), 3.83 $(1H, dd, J = 3.2, 3.2 Hz)$, 3.88 $(1H, dd, J = 8.2, 8.2, 0 Hz)$, 3.97 $(1H,$ dd, $J = 8.7, 3.2$ Hz), 4.30 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7, 6.9$ Hz), 4.39 (1H, d, $J =$ 3.4 Hz), 4.69 (1H, d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 5.33 (1H, d, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 7.67 (2H, m), 7.75 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.4 (CH₃), 57.2 (CH₃), 58.5 (CH₃), 58.6 (CH₃), 59.0 (CH₃), 59.9 (CH₃), 60.6 $(CH₃), 69.2$ (CH), 70.7 (CH₂), 74.9 (CH), 76.9 (CH), 77.1 (CH₂), 77.6 (CH), 79.7 (CH), 81.5 (CH), 82.8 (CH), 87.4 (CH), 97.2 (CH), 106.6 (CH), 123.2 (2 × CH), 128.9 (2 × C), 134.2 (2 × CH), 163.1 $(2 \times C)$; MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 608 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{39}NNaO_{13}$, 608.2319, found 608.2322. Anal.

Calcd for $C_{27}H_{39}NO_{13}$: C, 55.38; H, 6.71; N, 2.39. Found: C, 55.56; H, 6.43; N, 2.44.
General Procedure for the Reductive HAT Reactions with n-

 $Bu₃SnH or n-Bu₃SnD. A 0.013 M solution of phthalimide (1 equity)$ in dry benzene containing $n-Bu_3SnH$ or $n-Bu_3SnD$ (1 equiv) and AIBN (0.1 equiv) was heated at reflux temperature for 1.5 h. After this time another portion of $n-Bu_3SnH$ or $n-Bu_3SnD$ (1 equiv) and AIBN (0.1 equiv) were added, and heating at reflux was continued for an additional 1 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $CH₃CN$ and washed with *n*-hexane, and the combined more polar extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography.

Reductive HAT of 64. Method A with n -Bu₃SnH. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 64 (70 mg, 0.118 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 75:25 → 40:60) to give in order of elution methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-O-methyl- α -L-threofuranoside (66) (18.4 mg, 0.044 mmol, 49%), the alcohol 50 (10.1 mg, 0.022 mmol, 19%), previously described, and methyl 2,3,4 tri-O-acetyl-6-deoxy-β-D-gulopyranosyl-(1→3)-2-O-methyl-β-D-xylofuranoside (65) (14 mg, 0.031 mmol, 26%) as colorless oils. Data for compound 66: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}$ –88.8 (c 0.125, CHCl₃); IR 2929, 2828, 1747, 1373, 1230, 1055 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 1.21 (3H, d, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 1.98 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.37 (3H, s), 3.39 $(3H, s)$, 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 2.9, 1.3 Hz), 3.78–3.85 (2H, m), 4.11–4.17 $(2H, m)$, 4.82 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz), 4.85 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz), 5.06 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.8 Hz), 5.25 (1H, dd, J = 3.4, 1.9 Hz), 5.26 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 3.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 17.3 (CH₃), 20.67 (CH_3) , 20.74 (CH_3) , 20.9 (CH_3) , 54.8 (CH_3) , 57.8 (CH_3) , 67.0 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 69.382 (CH₂), 69.9 (CH), 71.0 (CH), 81.353 (CH), 89.6 (CH), 97.4 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 169.88 (C), 169.91 (C), 170.0 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 443 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS $(ESI⁺)$ *m/z* calcd for $C_{18}H_{28}NaO_{11}$ 443.1529, found 443.1526. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{28}O_{11}$: C, 51.42; H, 6.71. Found C, 51.28; H, 6.63. Data for compound 65: $[\alpha]_{\rm p}$ –51.0 (c 0.100, CHCl₃); IR 3498, 2936, 2832, 1745, 1228, 1048 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.17 (3H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 2.01 (3H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.17 (3H, s), 3.37 (3H, s), 3.43 $(3H, s)$, 3.70 (1H, dd, J = 12.2, 4.5 Hz), 3.78 (1H, dd, J = 3.4, 1.9 Hz), 3.80 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7$, 5.8 Hz), 4.12 (1H, dddd, $J = 6.6, 6.6, 6.6, 1.6$ Hz), 4.28 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 3.4 Hz), 4.31 (1H, ddd, J = 6.4, 6.4, 4.2 Hz), 4.82 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 4.83 (1H, dd, $J = 3.7$, 1.9 Hz), 4.84 (1H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 5.01 (1H, dd, $J = 8.5$, 3.4 Hz), 5.33 (1H, dd, $J = 3.7$, 3.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 15.733 (CH₃), 20.5 (CH₃), 20.69 (CH₃), 20.72 (CH₃), 55.4 (CH₃), 57.8 (CH₃), 61.6 (CH₂), 67.9 (CH), 68.4 (CH), 69.0 (CH), 70.182 (CH), 80.8 (CH), 82.5 (CH), 89.3 (CH), 98.4 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 168.9 (C), 169.4 (C), 169.8 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 473 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}NaO_{12}$ 473.1635, found 473.1643. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}O_{12}$: C, 50.66; H, 6.71. Found C, 50.79; H, 6.63.

Method B with $n-Bu_3SnD$. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 64 (80 mg, 0.134 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexanes− EtOAc, 75:25 \rightarrow 40:60) to give in order of elution methyl 2,3,4-tri-Oacetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→3)-2-O-methyl- α -L-(4- $^2\rm{H})$ threofuranoside (**69**) (15.7 mg, 0.037 mmol, 28%, ²H-4a:²H-4b ratio 70:30), methyl $2',3',4'$ -tri-O-acetyl- α -L- $[5'$ - $^{2}H]$ rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow$ 3)-2-O-methyl- β -D-xylofuranoside (68) (9.5 mg, 0.021 mmol, 8%, H:²H ratio 1:1), and methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-6-deoxy- β -D- (5^2H) gulopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-O-methyl- β -D-xylofuranoside (67) (9 mg, 0.02 mmol, 15%) as colorless oils. Data for compound $69:$ ^{1}H NMR (400 1 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 1.21 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.98 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.37 (3H, s), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 3.2, 1.3 Hz), 3.77−3.79 (1H, m), 3.81 (1H, dddd, J = 9.8, 6.4, 6.4, 6.4 Hz), 4.11− 4.14 (1H, m), 4.82 (1H, d, $J = 1.3$ Hz), 4.85 (1H, d, $J = 1.1$ Hz), 5.05 $(1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.8 Hz), 5.25 (1H, dd, J = 3.4, 1.9 Hz), 5.27 (1H, dd,$ $J = 9.3, 3.7$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 17.3 (CH₃), 20.66 (CH₃), 20.73 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 54.8 (CH₃), 57.8 (CH₃), 67.0 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 69.073 (CH, t, J_{CD} = 23.3 Hz), 69.9 (CH), 71.0 (CH), 81.283 (CH), 89.6 (CH), 97.4 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 169.88 (C),

169.90 (C), 170.0 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 444 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{18}H_{27}^{2}$ HNaO₁₁ 444.1592, found 444.1599. Data for compound 68: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 1.22 (3H, s), 1.23 (3H, d, $J = 6.1$ Hz), 1.99 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.78−3.89 (3.25H, m), 4.31 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.9, 6.9, 4.5$ Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, $J = 6.9, 3.7$ Hz), 4.85 (1H, d, J $= 1.9$ Hz), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz), 5.063 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz), 5.065 $(1H, dd, J = 9.8, 9.8 Hz), 5.22 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 3.4 Hz), 5.25 (1H, dd,$ $J = 3.4$, 1.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 17.369 (CH₃), 17.502 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃), 20.9 (CH₃), 55.7 (CH₃), 57.9 $(CH₃)$, 61.666 (CH, t, $J_{CD} = 21.9$ Hz), 61.996 (CH₂), 67.3 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 69.8 (CH), 70.814 (CH), 70.870 (CH), 79.1 (CH), 80.447 (CH), 80.511 (CH), 88.6 (CH), 96.4 (CH), 107.6 (CH), 169.8 (C), 169.9 (C), 170.1 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 474 (M⁺ + Na, 100), 473 (28); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₉²HNaO₁₂ 474.1698, found 474.1705; calcd for C₁₉H₃₀NaO₁₂ 473.1635, found 473.1644. Data for compound 67: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.16 (3H, s), 2.01 (3H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.17 (3H, s), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.43 (3H, s), 3.70 (1H, dd, $J = 11.9$, 4.0 Hz), 3.78 (1H, dd, $J = 3.4$, 2.1 Hz), 3.81 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 5.8 Hz), 4.28 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 3.4 Hz), 4.31 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.6, 6.6, 4.5$ Hz), 4.82 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 4.83 (1H, d, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 4.84 (1H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 5.01 (1H, dd, $J = 8.5$, 3.4 Hz), 5.33 (1H, dd, J = 3.7, 3.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 15.634 (CH₃), 20.5 (CH₃), 20.70 (CH₃), 20.73 (CH₃), 55.4 (CH₃), 57.8 (CH₃), 61.6 (CH₂), 67.9 (CH), 68.4 (CH), 70.133 (CH), 80.8 (CH), 82.5 (CH), 89.3 (CH), 98.4 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 168.9 (C), 169.4 (C), 169.8 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 474 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{29}^{2}$ ²HNaO₁₂ 474.1698, found 474.1705.

Reductive HAT of 70. Method A with $n-Bu_3SnH$. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 70 (50 mg, 0.092 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by Chromatotron chromatography $(CHCl₂–MeOH, 99:1)$ to give methyl 2,3,5-tri-Omethyl- β -L-xylofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (71) (16.9 mg, 0.043 mmol, 46%) as a colorless oil and the precursor alcohol 53 (14.8 mg, 0.037 mmol, 41%). Data for compound 71: $[\alpha]_{D}$ +145.4 (c 0.240, CHCl3); IR 3505, 2933, 2832, 1456, 1100, 1050 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 3.22 (1H, dd, J = 9.7, 3.8 Hz), 3.40 (6H, s), 3.45 (3H, s), 3.49 (3H, s), 3.55 (1H, ddd, J = 10.1, 3.2, 3.2 Hz), 3.56 (1H, dd, $J = 9.5$, 9.5 Hz), 3.60 (3H, s), 3.61 (1H, dd, $J =$ 10.1, 6.9 Hz), 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 9.5 Hz), 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 4.7 Hz), 3.70 (1H, dd, J = 4.7, 2.2 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 3.8 Hz), 3.77 (1H, dd, $J = 1.9$, 1.9 Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, $J = 12.3$, 2.8 Hz), 4.29 $(H, ddd, J = 6.9, 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.81 (1H, d, J = 3.8 Hz), 5.41 (1H, d, J)$ = 1.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.1 (CH₃), 57.6 (CH_3) , 58.1 (CH₃), 58.8 (CH₃), 59.1 (CH₃), 60.9 (CH₃), 62.3 (CH₂), 70.3 (CH), 70.738 (CH₂), 76.0 (CH), 80.1 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 83.023 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 87.2 (CH), 97.5 (CH), 107.2 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/ z (rel intens) 419 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{32}NaO_{10}$ 419.1893, found 419.1892. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{32}O_{10}$: C, 51.51; H, 8.14. Found C, 51.69; H, 8.23.

Method B with $n-Bu_3SnD$. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 70 (50 mg, 0.092 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by Chromatotron chromatography (CHCl₃− MeOH, 99:1) to give methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-methyl- β -L- $(4 - 2H)$ xylofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (72) (13.6 mg, 0.034 mmol, 37%) and methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-methyl-α-D-[4-² H] arabinofuranosyl-(1→4)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (73) (12.7 mg, 0.032 mmol, 35%, ¹ H:² H ratio 25:75). Data for compound 72: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.22 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 3.7 Hz), 3.40 (6H, s), 3.45 (3H, s), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.55 (1H, ddd, J = 9.8, 3.2, 3.2 Hz), 3.56 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz), 3.596 (1H, d, J = 10.3 Hz), 3.602 $(3H, s)$, 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz), 3.66 (1H, d, J = 10.3 Hz), 3.70 $(1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz)$, 3.72 $(1H, dd, J = 12.2, 3.4 Hz)$, 3.77 $(1H, dd, J = 12.2 Hz)$ 2.4, 1.6 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, J = 12.4, 2.7 Hz), 4.81 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz), 5.42 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 55.1 (CH_3) , 57.6 (CH₃), 58.1 (CH₃), 58.8 (CH₃), 59.1 (CH₃), 60.9 (CH₃), 62.3 (CH₂), 70.3 (CH), 70.667 (CH₂), 76.0 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 82.940 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 87.2 (CH), 97.5 (CH), 107.2 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/ z (rel intens) 420 (M^+ + Na, 100). HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for

 $C_{17}H_{31}^{2}$ HNaO₁₀ 420.1956, found 420.1956. Data for compound 73:
¹H NMR (only the deuterated compound is described) (400 MHz) ¹H NMR (only the deuterated compound is described) (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_H 3.23 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 3.4 Hz), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.396 (3H, s), 3.400 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.491 (1H, d, $J = 10.3$ Hz), 3.494 (3H, s), 3.53 (1H, d, J = 10.3 Hz), 3.55–3.59 (2H, m), 3.58 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz), 3.59 (3H, s), 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, J = 12.7, 2.4 Hz), 3.76 (1H, dd, J = 2.9, 1.1 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, J = 12.7, 3.2 Hz), 4.83 (1H, d, J = 3.4 Hz), 5.41 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 55.1 (CH₃), 57.7 (CH₃), 58.0 (CH₃), 58.7 (CH₃), 59.3 (CH_3) , 60.9 (CH₃), 61.7 (CH₂), 70.4 (CH), 72.556 (CH₂), 72.626 $(CH₂)$, 74.8 (CH), 81.3 (CH), 82.4 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 85.650 (CH), 85.699 (CH), 89.6 (CH), 97.4 (CH), 107.2 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 420 (M^+ + Na, 100), 419 (17); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{31}^{2}HNaO_{10}$ 420.1956, found 420.1961; calcd for $C_{17}H_{32}NaO_{10}$ 419.1893, found 419.1897.

Reductive HAT of 74. Method A with n -Bu₃SnH. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 74 (31.5 mg, 0.050 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by Chromatotron chromatography (CHCl₃−MeOH, 100:0.2) to give methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-acetyl-β-L-xylofuranosyl-(1→4)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (75) $(9.7 \text{ mg}, 0.020 \text{ mmol}, 40%)$ as a colorless oil and the precursor alcohol 55 (4.8 mg, 0.010 mmol, 20%). Data for compound 75: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ +95.0 (c 0.360, CHCl₃); IR 3524, 2929, 2840, 1745, 1228, 1048 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 2.09 (3H, s), 2.10 (3H, s), 2.11 (3H, s), 3.20 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.5 Hz), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.54−3.57 (2H, m), 3.56 (3H, s), 3.63 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 9.5 Hz), 3.76 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 2.8 Hz), 3.90 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 3.5 Hz), 4.25 $(1H, dd, J = 11.7, 7.3 Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.51 (1H,$ ddd, J = 7.3, 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.81 (1H, d, J = 3.5 Hz), 5.16 (1H, dd, J = 1.6, 1.6 Hz), 5.26 (1H, dd, J = 4.7, 1.9 Hz), 5.44 (1H, d, J = 0.9 Hz); $13C$ NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 20.61 (CH₃), 20.62 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH_3) , 55.2 (CH_3) , 59.0 (CH_3) , 61.1 (CH_3) , 61.6 (CH_2) , 61.992 (CH2), 70.2 (CH), 74.328 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 78.3 (CH), 79.6 (CH), 82.3 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 97.6 (CH), 107.4 (CH), 168.9 (C), 169.5 (C), 170.6 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 503 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}NaO_{13}$ 503.1741, found 503.1745. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}O_{13}$: C, 55.00; H, 6.71. Found C, 49.91; H, 6.57.

Method B with $n-Bu_3SnD$. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 74 (27 mg, 0.043 mmol) following the general procedure. The residue was purified by Chromatotron chromatography (CHCl₃− MeOH, 100:0.2) to give methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-acetyl- β -L- $(4.^2{\rm H})$ xylofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (76) (8.2 mg, 0.017 mmol, 40%) and methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-acetyl-α-D-[5-2 H] arabinofuranosyl-(1→4)-6-O-phthalimido-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (77) (4.6 mg, 0.010 mmol, 22%, ¹H:²H ratio 30:70). Data for compound 76: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.09 (3H, s), 2.10 $(3H, s)$, 2.11 $(3H, s)$, 3.20 $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 3.5 Hz)$, 3.41 $(3H, s)$, 3.50 (3H, s), 3.54−3.57 (2H, m), 3.56 (3H, s), 3.63 (1H, dd, J = 9.5, 9.5 Hz), 3.77 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 2.8 Hz), 3.90 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 3.2 Hz), 4.25 (1H, d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 4.31 (1H, d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 4.81 (1H, d, $J =$ 3.5 Hz), 5.17 (1H, dd, $J = 1.6$, 1.6 Hz), 5.26 (1H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 5.44 (1H, d, J = 1.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c 20.61 (CH₃), 20.63 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 55.2 (CH₃), 59.0 (CH₃), 61.1 (CH₃), 61.6 (CH₂), 61.934 (CH₂), 70.2 (CH), 74.263 (CH), 75.7 (CH), 79.6 (CH), 82.3 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 97.6 (CH), 107.4 (CH), 168.9 (C), 169.5 (C), 170.6 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 504 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{31}^{2}$ ²HNaO₁₃ 504.1803, found 504.1803. Data for compound 77: ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} 2.10 (3H, s), 2.105 (3H, s), 2.107 (3H, s), 3.20 (1H, dd, $J = 9.1$, 3.8 Hz), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.51 (3H, s), 3.57 (3H, s), 3.58−3.67 (3H, m), 3.76 $(1H, dd, J = 12.3, 2.2 Hz), 3.79 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 3.5 Hz), 4.189 (1H,$ d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 4.192 (1H, dd, $J = 11.4$, 6.3 Hz), 4.31 (1H, ddd, $J =$ 6.3, 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 4.364 (1H, d, $J = 11.7$ Hz), 4.368 (1H, dd, $J = 11.4$, 4.4 Hz), 4.82 (1H, d, $J = 3.8$ Hz), 5.006 (1H, dd, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 5.010 (1H, dd, J = 4.4, 1.8 Hz), 5.18 (1H, dd, J = 1.9, 0.9 Hz), 5.49 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 20.61 (CH₃), 20.64 (2 × CH₃), 55.2 (CH₃), 59.0 (CH₃), 61.0 (CH₃), 61.7 (CH₂), 63.346 (CH₂), 63.411 (CH₂), 70.0 (CH), 74.2 (CH), 76.974 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 80.7 (CH), 81.1 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 97.6 (CH), 107.0 (CH), 169.3 (C), 169.9 (C), 170.5 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 504 (M⁺

+ Na, 100), 503 (27); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{31}^{2}$ HNaO₁₃ 504.1803, found 504.1798; calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}O_{13}Na$ 503.1741, found 503.1742.

Reductive HAT of 78. Method A with n -Bu₃SnH. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 78 (82 mg, 0.140 mmol) following the general procedure, except that the addition of the second portion of reagents was omitted, and the reaction was heated at reflux temperature for 4 h. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel 60 PF (0.063−0.2 mm) with 10% KF (hexanes−EtOAc, 10:90 → 0:100) to give an inseparable mixture of alcohols (50.3 mg, 0.114 mmol, 82%, 1.4:1). Acetylation of the mixture of alcohols (50.3 mg, 0.114 mmol) in dry pyridine (3.3 mL) containing Ac_2O (1.1 mL) at room temperature for 2 h gave after Chromatotron chromatography (hexanes−EtOAc, 1:1) methyl 2,3,5,6 tetra-O-methyl-α-D-mannofuranosyl-(1→4)-6-O-acetyl-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (80) (30.3 mg, 0.063 mmol, 55%) and methyl 2,3,5,6-tetra-O-methyl- α -D-talofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -6-O-acetyl-2,3-di-Omethyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (79) (24.8 mg, 0.051 mmol, 45%), both as colorless oils. Data for compound 80: $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{\text{D}}$ +148.2 (c 0.110, CHCl₃); IR 2931, 1743, 1038 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.06 (3H, s), 3.20 (1H, dd, J = 9.3, 3.4 Hz), 3.36−3.61 (4H, m), 3.36 (3H, s), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.44 (3H, s), 3.48 (3H, s), 3.49 (3H, s), 3.53 $(3H, s)$, 3.58 $(3H, s)$, 3.67–3.74 $(3H, m)$, 3.91 $(1H, dd, J = 4.5, 3.4)$ Hz), 4.04 (1H, dd, J = 8.7, 3.4 Hz), 4.17 (1H, dd, J = 11.9, 6.6 Hz), 4.37 (1H, dd, $J = 12.0$, 2.2 Hz), 4.80 (1H, d, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 5.36 (1H, d, J = 3.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 20.8 (CH₃), 55.0 (CH_3) , 57.5 (CH₃), 58.7 (CH₃), 58.8 (CH₃), 59.1 (CH₃), 60.2 (CH₃), 61.0 (CH₃), 63.5 (CH₂), 68.0 (CH), 71.2 (CH₂), 75.7 (CH), 77.1 (CH), 78.0 (CH), 79.8 (CH), 82.0 (CH), 83.1 (CH), 87.5 (CH), 97.2 (CH), 106.9 (CH), 170.6 (C); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 505 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{38}NaO_{12}$, 505.2261, found 505.2256. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₁₂: C, 52.27; H, 7.94. Found: C, 52.32; H, 8.02. Data for compound 79: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}$ +21.3 (c 0.530, CHCl₃); IR 2930, 1743, 1056 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 2.07 (3H, s), 3.21 (1H, dd, J = 9.4, 3.6 Hz), 3.37−3.59 (5H, m), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 3.46 (3H, s), 3.49 (3H, s), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.61 (3H, s), 3.37−3.74 (2H, m), 3.91 (1H, dd, J = 7.7, 4.5 Hz), 4.02 $(1H, dd, J = 7.7, 3.4 Hz)$, 4.24 $(1H, dd, J = 12.0, 6.2 Hz)$, 4.58 $(1H, dd,$ $J = 11.9, 1.85$ Hz), 4.81 (1H, d, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 5.34 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C 20.9 (CH₃), 55.0 (CH₃), 57.9 (CH₃), 58.0 (CH₃), 58.8 (2 × CH₃), 59.0 (CH₃), 61.1 (CH₃), 63.8 (CH₂), 68.4 (CH), 72.2 (CH₂), 76.5 (CH), 78.5 (CH), 79.0 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 82.1 (2 × CH), 83.2 (CH), 97.1 (CH), 105.7 (CH), 170.7 (C); MS $(ESI⁺)$ m/z (rel intens) 505 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{21}H_{38}NaO_{12}$, 505.2261, found 505.2263. Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{38}O_{12}$: C, 52.27; H, 7.94. Found: C, 52.44; H, 8.14.

Method B with $n-Bu_3SnD$. The reaction proceeded from phthalimide 78 (30.7 mg, 0.052 mmol) following the general procedure, except that the addition of the second portion of reagents was omitted, and the reaction was heated at reflux temperature for 4 h. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel 60 PF (0.063−0.2 mm) with 10% KF (hexanes−EtOAc, 10:90 → 0:100) to give an inseparable mixture of methyl 2,3,5,6-tetra-O-methyl-α-D- [4-² H]mannofuranosyl-(1→4)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (82) (11.2 mg, 0.025 mmol, 49%, ¹ H:² H ratio 30:70) and methyl 2,3,5,6-tetra-O-methyl- α -D- $(4$ -²H)talofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2,3-di-O-methyl- α -<code>D-glucopyranoside</code> ($81)$ (6.8 mg, 0.015 mmol, 29%). $^1{\rm H}$ NMR (only deuterated compounds are described) (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.23 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 3.7 Hz), 3.25 (1H, dd, J = 7.7, 3.7 Hz), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.38 (3H, s), 3.39 (3H, s), 3.40 (3H, s), 3.41 (3H, s), 3.42−3.56 (8H, m), 3.43 (3H, s), 3.48 (6H, s), 3.49 (6H, s), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.53 (3H, s), 3.57 (3H, s), 3.60 (3H, s), 3.61−3.68 (6H, m), 3.72 (1H, dd, J $= 7.7, 4.5$ Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 7.2, 2.6 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, J = 12.4, 2.9 Hz), 3.87 (1H, d, $J = 4.5$ Hz, $3'$ -H inv), 3.91 (1H, d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, $3'$ -H ret), 3.98 (1H, dd, J = 12.6, 2.5 Hz), 4.82 (2H, d, J = 3.7 Hz), 5.43 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz), 5.50 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C 55.0 (CH₃), 55.1 (CH₃), 57.1 (CH₃), 57.7 (CH₃), 58.1 (CH₃), 58.7 $(3 \times CH_3)$, 59.0 (CH₃), 59.2 (CH₃), 59.4 (CH₃), 60.2 (CH₃), 60.7 (2) \times CH₂), 60.8 (CH₃), 60.9 (CH₃), 69.7 (CH₂), 70.6 (CH), 70.7 (CH), 72.7 (CH₂), 74.5 (CH), 74.6 (CH), 76.9 (CH), 78.1 (CH), 78.2

(CH), 79.7 (CH), 79.8 (CH), 81.7 (CH), 82.2 (CH), 82.3 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 83.6 (CH), 87.2 (2 × CH), 97.5 (2 × CH), 105.2 (CH), 107.1 (CH); MS (ESI⁺) m/z (rel intens) 464 (M⁺ + Na, 100); HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{35}^2HNaO_{11}$, 464.2218, found 464.2211.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra for all new compounds and molecular modeling calculations (Figures S1−S3 and Tables S1−S3). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: angelesmartin@ipna.csic.es (A.M.); esuarez@ipna.csic. es (E.S.).

Notes

The aut[hors](mailto:angelesmartin@ipna.csic.es) [declare](mailto:angelesmartin@ipna.csic.es) [no](mailto:angelesmartin@ipna.csic.es) [competing](mailto:angelesmartin@ipna.csic.es) financial [interest.](mailto:esuarez@ipna.csic.es)

[■](mailto:esuarez@ipna.csic.es) ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Research Programs SolSubC200801000192 of the Gobierno de Canarias and CTQ2010-18244 of the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, cofinanced by the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER). I.P.-M. thanks the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación for the Research Program PIE 200930I138. S.G. thanks the Gobierno de Canarias for a postdoctoral contract.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Pearce, A. J.; Mallet, J.-M.; Sinay, P. Radicals in Carbohydrate ̈ Chemistry. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 2, pp 538−577. (b) Praly, J.-P. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 2001, 56, 65−151. (c) Pérez-Martín, I.; Suárez, E. Radicals and Carbohydrates. In Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology and Materials; Chatgilialoglu, C., Studer, A., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 2012; Vol. 2, pp 1131−1174.

(2) (a) Giese, B.; Dussy, A.; Meggers, E.; Petretta, M.; Schwitter, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11130−11131. (b) Strittmatter, H.; Dussy, A.; Schwitter, U.; Giese, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 135−137. For reviews, see: (c) Gimisis, T.; Chatgilialoglu, C. Oxidatively Formed Sugar Radicals in Nucleic Acids. In Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology and Materials; Chatgilialoglu, C., Studer, A., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 2012; Vol. 3, pp 1347− 1370. (d) Chatgilialoglu, C. Reactivity of Nucleic Acid Sugar Radicals. In Radical and Radical Ion Reactivity in Nucleic Acid Chemistry; Greenberg, M. M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2009; pp 99−133. (e) von Sonntag, C. Free-Radical-Induced DNA Damage and Its Repair; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2006. (f) Pogozelski, W. K.; Tullius, T. D. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1089−1108.

(3) Kerwin, S. M. DNA Damage Due to Diradical-Generating Cyclizations. In Radical and Radical Ion Reactivity in Nucleic Acid Chemistry; Greenberg, M. M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2009; pp 389−419.

(4) Xi, Z.; Rong, J.; Chattopadhyaya, J. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 5255− 5272.

(5) Peukert, S.; Batra, R.; Giese, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3507− 3510.

(6) Sakaguchi, N.; Hirano, S.; Matsuda, A.; Shuto, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3291−3294.

(7) Francisco, C. G.; Herrera, A. J.; Suárez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7439−7445.

(8) Francisco, C. G.; Herrera, A. J.; Suárez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1012−1017.

(9) Herrera, A. J.; Rondón, M.; Suárez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3384−3391.

(10) (a) Boto, A.; Hernández, D.; Hernández, R.; Suárez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1938−1948. (b) Martín, A.; Quintanal, L. M.; Suárez, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 5507−5511. (c) Francisco, C. G.; Freire, R.; Herrera, A. J.; Pérez-Martín, I.; Suárez, E. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 8910−8920.

(11) (a) Francisco, C. G.; Herrera, A. J.; Kennedy, A. R.; Martín, A.; Melián, D.; Pérez-Martín, I.; Quintanal, L. M.; Suárez, E. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10369-10381. (b) Martín, A.; Pérez-Martín, I.; Quintanal, L. M.; Suárez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7710−7720.

(12) For other syntheses of this type of compound, see: (a) La Cruz, T. E.; Rychnovsky, S. D. Synlett 2004, 2013−2015. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Mitchell, H. J.; Fylaktakidou, K. C.; Suzuki, H.; Rodriguez, R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1089−1093. (c) Deslongchamps, P.; Dory, Y. L.; Li, S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 3533−3538. (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Fylaktakidou, K. C.; Mitchell, H. J.; Delft, F. L.; Rodriguez, R. M.; Conley, S. R.; Jin, Z. Chem.-Eur. J. 2000, 6, 3166-3185. (e) Ashworth, P.; Belagali, S. L.; Casson, S.; Marczak, A.; Kocienski, P. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 9939−9946.

(13) The stereoelectronic stabilizing anomeric and $β$ -oxygen effects of cyclic α -oxygenated radicals have been extensively studied in glycopyranose systems. In flexible five-membered glycofuranoses, these stereoelectronic effects tend to be significantly less pronounced. (a) Buckmelter, A. J.; Rychnovsky, S. D. Utilization of α -Oxygenated Radicals in Synthesis. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 2, pp 334− 349. (b) Giese, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 969−980.

(14) (a) Boto, A.; Hernández, D.; Hernández, R.; Suárez, E. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5310−5319. For an analogous radical decarboxylation of aldofuranuronic acids, see: (b) Dhavale, D. D.; Tagliavini, E.; Trombini, C.; Umani-Ronchi, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6163− 6166.

(15) (a) Robins, M. J.; Guo, Z.; Samano, M. C.; Wnuk, S. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 1425−1433. (b) Huang, Q.; Herdewijn, P. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 3742−3753. (c) Sanchez-Eleuterio, A.; Quintero, ́ L.; Sartillo-Piscil, F. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5466−5471. (d) Hernández-García, L.; Quintero, L.; Sánchez, M.; Sartillo-Piscil, F. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8196−8201.

(16) To our knowledge only a single example of this 2,6,10 trioxabicyclo[5.2.1]decane system has been previously synthesized with unspecified yield; see: Rio, G.; Rio, M.-J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 72−74.

(17) MacroModel, version 9.7, and the AMBER* force field with the GB/SA solvent model for CHCl₃, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2009. The minimum for each conformational isomer was calculated by performing a coordinate scan calculation of Φ and Ψ dihedrals from -180° to +180° in increments of 5°.

(18) For definitions of these staggered conformations and glycosidic bond torsion angles ($\Phi = H1' - Cl' - O - C4$; $\Psi = Cl' - O - C4 - H4$), see: Jiménez-Barbero, J.; Espinosa, J. F.; Asensio, J. L.; Cañada, F. J.; Poveda, A. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 2000, 56, 235−284.

(19) (a) Feray, L.; Kuznetsov, N.; Renaud, P. Hydrogen Atom Abstraction. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 2, pp 246−278. (b) Dorigo, A. E.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2195− 2197. (c) Dorigo, A. E.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1650− 1664. (d) Dorigo, A. E.; McCarrick, M. A.; Loncharich, R. J.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7508-7514.

(20) (a) Fleet, G. W. J.; Seymour, L. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 3015−3018. (b) Ahmed, F. M. S.; David, S.; Vatèle, J.-M. Carbohydr. Res. 1986, 155, 19−31. (c) Montgomery, J. A.; Hewson, K.; Laseter, A. G. Carbohydr. Res. 1973, 27, 303−308.

(21) (a) Vamshikrishna, K.; Srihari, P. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 1540− 1546. (b) Kaliappan, K. P.; Subrahmanyam, A. V. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1121−1124.

(22) (a) Wilcox, C. S.; Otoski, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1011−1014. (b) De Voss, J. J.; Hangeland, J. J.; Townsend, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2715−2723.

(23) Program Matlab GUI as described in the following: Hendrickx, P. M. S.; Martins, J. C. *Chem. Cent. J.* **2008**, 2, 20. The ${}^{3}J_{\text{H1,H2}}, {}^{3}J_{\text{H2,H3}},$

and ${}^{3}J_{H3,H4}$ constants were obtained by iterative simulation of the spectra using DAISY as implemented in TOPSPIN, version 2.1, for Bruker. For a description of the pseudorotation concept, see: (a) Altona, C.; Sundaralingam, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 8205−8212. (b) Houseknecht, J. B.; Altona, C.; Hadad, C. M.; Lowary, T. L. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4647−4651.

(24) Similar conformations have been observed in the solid state of 2′,3′-O-isopropylideneribofuranose derivatives by X-ray crystallographic analysis: Jenkinson, S. F.; Wang, C.; Pino-González, M.-S.; Fleet, G. W. J.; Watkin, D. J. Acta Crystallogr. 2009, E65, o263. Best, D.; Jenkinson, S. F.; Booth, K. V.; Fleet, G. W. J.; Watkin, D. J. Acta Crystallogr. 2007, E63, o2165−o2167. Viswamitra, M. A.; Gautham, N. Proc.-Indian Acad. Sci., Chem. Sci. 1984, 93, 261-269.

(25) Fréchou, C.; Dheilly, L.; Beaupere, D.; Uzan, R.; Demailly, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5067−5070.

(26) Kamada, M.; Satoh, T.; Kakuchi, T.; Yokota, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 3667−3669.

(27) For conformational preferences of methyl aldopentofuranosides, see: (a) Taha, H. A.; Castillo, N.; Roy, P.-N.; Lowary, T. L. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2009, 5, 430−438. (b) Houseknecht, J. B.; Lowary, T. L.; Hadad, C. M. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 372−378. (c) Houseknecht, J. B.; Lowary, T. L.; Hadad, C. M. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 5763−5777. (d) D'Souza, F. W.; Ayers, J. D.; McCarren, P. R.; Lowary, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1251−1260. (e) Cloran, F.; Zhu, Y.; Osborn, J.; Carmichael, I.; Serianni, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6435−6448. For X-ray analysis of aldopentofuranosides, see: (f) Nacario, R. C.; Lowary, T. L.; McDonald, R. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E 2007, E63, o498−o500. (g) Evdokimov, A.; Gilboa, A. J.; Koetzle, T. F.; Klooster, W. T.; Schultz, A. J.; Mason, S. A.; Albinati, A.; Frolow, F. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 2001, B57, 213−220. (h) Gordon, M. T.; Lowary, T. L.; Hadad, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9682−9692. (i) Evdokimov, A. G.; Kalb (Gilboa), A. J.; Koetzle, T. F.; Klooster, W. T.; Martin, J. M. L. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 744−753. (j) Podlasek, C. A.; Stripe, W. A.; Carmichael, I.; Shang, M.; Basu, B.; Serianni, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1413− 1425.

(28) Gurjar, M. K.; Reddy, L. K.; Hotha, S. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4657−4660.

(29) (a) Su, Y.; Xie, J.; Wang, Y.; Hu, X.; Lin, X. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 45, 2713−2718. (b) Deng, S.; Yu, B.; Hui, Y.; Yu, H.; Han, X. Carbohydr. Res. 1999, 317, 53−62.

(30) (a) Wang, J.; Li, J.; Tuttle, D.; Takemoto, J. Y.; Chang, C.-W. T. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3997−4000. (b) van Steijn, A. M. P.; Kamerling, J. P.; Vliegenthart, J. F. G. Carbohydr. Res. 1991, 211, 261−277.

(31) Velty, R.; Benvegnu, T.; Gelin, M.; Privat, E.; Plusquellec, D. Carbohydr. Res. 1997, 299, 7−14.

(32) Parhi, A. K.; Mootoo, D. R.; Franck, R. W. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9821−9827.

(33) For reviews on disaccharide synthesis using these methodologies, see: (a) Schmidt, R. R.; Jung, K.-H. Oligosaccharide Synthesis with Trichloroacetimidates. In Preparative Carbohydrate Chemistry; Hanessian, S., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997; pp 283−312. (b) Fraser-Reid, B.; Madsen, R. Oligosaccharide Synthesis by n-Pentenyl Glycosides. In Preparative Carbohydrate Chemistry; Hanessian, S., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997; pp 339−356.

(34) Gotfredsen, C. H.; Jacobsen, J. P.; Wengel, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1996, 4, 1217−1225.

(35) Parmentier, G.; Schmitt, G.; Dolle, F.; Luu, B. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 5361−5368.

(36) Dangerfield, E. M.; Gulab, S. A.; Plunkett, C. H.; Timmer, M. S. M.; Stocker, B. L. Carbohydr. Res. 2010, 345, 1360−1365.

(37) This is in reasonable agreement with computational and X-ray crystallographic reported data for monosaccharide methyl α -Darabinofuranoside rings; see refs 21b and 24.

(38) For a review on radical rearrangement of esters under reductive conditions, see: (a) Crich, D. Radical Rearrangement of Esters. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Re[naud](#page-19-0), P., Sibi, M., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 2, pp 188−206. (b) Sasaki, K.; Cumpstey, I.; Crich, D. Radical Rearrangements: Ester-Substituted

Radicals and Hydrogen Atom Migrations. In Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology and Materials; Chatgilialoglu, C., Studer, A., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 2012; Vol. 1, pp 125−146. (39) (a) Mitsunobu, O. Synthesis 1981, 1−28. (b) Grochowski, E.;

Jurczak, J. Synthesis 1976, 682−684. (40) Kaskar, B.; Heise, G. L.; Michalak, R. S.; Vishnuvajjala, B. R.

Synthesis 1990, 1031−1032.

(41) (a) Nicoll-Griffith, D. A.; Weiler, L. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 2733−2750. (b) Jakab, Z.; Mandi, A.; Borbas, A.; Benyei, A.; Komaromi, I.; Lazar, L.; Antus, S.; Liptak, A. Carbohydr. Res. 2009, 344, 2444−2453.